RIEMANNIAN GEOMETRY

PRC.ZZJ

To Professor Zhu For better understanding on Lobatchevski Geometry...

Problem Set

Riemannian Geometry	Manfredo Perdig \widetilde{a} o do Carmo
Chapter 0 Differentiable Manifolds	
Chapter 1 Riemannian Metrics	1,(4),5
Chapter 2 Affinece Connections; Riemannian Connections	
Chapter 3 Geodesics; Convex Neighborhoods	
Chapter 4 Curvature	
Chapter 5 Jacobi Fields	
Chapter 6 Isometric Immersions	
Chapter 7 Complete Manifolds; Hopf-Rinow and Hadamard T	heorems 6,7,(10)
Chapter 8 Spaces of Constant Curvature	1,4,(5)
Chapter 9 Variations of Energy	1,(2,3),4,5
Chapter 10 The Rauch Comparison Theorem	
Chapter 11 The Morse Index Theorem	(2),(4),5,6
Chapter 12 The Fundamental Group of Manifolds of Negative	e Curvature no ex
Chapter 13 The Sphere Theorem	no ex
Concluding Remarks	

0 Differentiable Manifolds

0.1 (Product Manifold). Let M and N be differentiable manifolds and let $\{(U_{\alpha}, x_{\beta})\}, \{(V_{\beta}, y_{\beta})\}$ differentiable structures on M and N, respectively. Consider the cartesian product $M \times N$ and the mapping

$$z_{\alpha\beta}(p,q) = (x_{\alpha}(p), y_{\beta}(q)), \ p \in U_{\alpha}, \ q \in V_{\beta}$$

- a) Prove that $(U_{\alpha} \times V_{\beta}, z_{\alpha\beta})$ is a differentiable structure on $M \times N$ in which the projections $\pi_1 : M \times N \to M$ and $\pi_2 : M \times N \to N$ are differentiable. With this differentiable structure $M \times N$ is called the product manifold of M with N.
- b) Show that the product manifold $S^1 \times \cdots \times S^1$ of *n* circles S^1 , where $S^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ has the usual differentiable structure, is diffeomorphic to the *n*-torus T^n of example 4.9 *a*).

Proof. a) Clearly,

$$z_{\alpha\beta} : U_{\alpha} \times V_{\beta} \to x_{\alpha}(U_{\alpha}) \times y_{\beta}(V_{\beta}) \subset M \times N$$
$$(p,q) \mapsto (x_{\alpha}(p), y_{\beta}(q))$$
$$1$$

is injective. Moreover,

$$\bigcup_{\alpha,\beta} z_{\alpha\beta}(U_{\alpha} \times V_{\beta}) = \bigcup_{\alpha} x_{\alpha}(U_{\alpha}) \times \bigcup_{\beta} y_{\beta}(V_{\beta}) = M \times N$$

and if

$$z_{\alpha\beta}(U_{\alpha} \times V_{\beta}) \cap z_{\gamma\delta}(U_{\gamma} \times V_{\delta}) = W \neq \emptyset$$

then

$$z_{\gamma\delta}^{-1} \circ z_{\alpha\beta}(p,q) = z_{\gamma\delta}^{-1}(x_{\alpha}(p), y_{\beta}(q)) = (x_{\gamma}^{-1} \circ x_{\alpha}(p), y_{\delta}^{-1} \circ y_{\beta}(q))$$

is differentiable. Thus, by definition, with this differentiable structure, $M\times N$ is a differentiable manifold.

b) Recall $\mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{Z}^n$. Let

$$F: S^1 \times \dots \times S^1 \to \mathbb{T}^n$$
$$(e^{i\alpha_j})_{j=1}^n \mapsto \left(\frac{\alpha_j}{2\pi} + n_j\right)_{j=1}^n$$

where $\alpha_j \in [0, 2\pi), n_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ We have

• F is injective, since

$$\frac{\alpha_j}{2\pi} + n_j = \frac{\beta_j}{2\pi} + m_j \Rightarrow \alpha_j - \beta_j = 2\pi(m_j - n_j) \Rightarrow e^{i\alpha_j} = e^{i\beta_j}$$

• F is surjective, just note that

$$\alpha_j \in [0, 2\pi) \Rightarrow \frac{\alpha_j}{2\pi} \in [0, 1)$$

• F and F^{-1} are differentiable, this is proved by a list of graphs. Indeed, one " $y^{-1} \circ F \circ x$ " is of the form

$$f(t) = \frac{\arctan t}{\pi} - \frac{1}{4}$$

 \square

- 0.9 Let $G \times M \to M$ be a properly discontinuous action of a group G on a differentiable manifold M.
 - a) Prove that the manifold M/G (Example 4.8) is oriented if and only if there exists an orientation of M that is preserved by all the diffeomorphisms of G.
 - b) Use a) to show that the projective plane $P^2(\mathbb{R})$, the Klein bottle and the Mobius band are non-orientable.
 - c) Prove that $P^2(\mathbb{R})$ is orientable if and only if n is odd.
 - *Proof.* a) if part: Let (U_{α}, x_{α}) be an orientation of M that is preserved by all the diffeomorphisms of G, i.e.

$$W = U_{\beta} \cap g(U_{\alpha}) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \det(x_{\beta}^{-1} \circ g \circ x_{\alpha}) > 0$$

We claim that $(\pi(U_{\alpha}), \pi \circ x_{\alpha})$ is an orientation of M/G. Indeed,

$$\pi(U_{\alpha}) \cap \pi(U_{\beta}) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \det((\pi \circ x_{\beta})^{-1} \circ (\pi \circ x_{\alpha})) = \det(x_{\beta}^{-1} \circ g \circ x_{\alpha}) > 0$$

for some $g \in G$.

Only if part: We know the atlas of M/G is induced from M, hence the conclusion follows from the reverse of the "if part".

b) Let $G = \{Id, A\}$ where A is the antipodal map. Recall that

Projective 2 – space $P^2(\mathbb{R}) = S^2/G$, where $S^2 = 2$ – dim sphere Klein bottle $K = \mathbb{T}^2/G$, where $\mathbb{T}^2 = 2$ – dim torus Mobius band M = C/G, where C = 2 – dim cylinder

Clearly, S², T², C are orientable 2−dim manifols, but A reverse the orientation of R³, hence S², T², C. The conclusion follows from a).
c) We've the following equivalence:

 $P^{n}(\mathbb{R})$ is orientable \Leftrightarrow A preserves the orientation of $S^{n}(by a)$)

 \Leftrightarrow A preserves the orientation of \mathbb{R}^{n+1}

(The orientation is induced from \mathbb{R}^{n+1})

 \Leftrightarrow (n+1) is even

 \Leftrightarrow *n* is odd

1 Riemannian Metrics

1.1 Prove that the antipodal mapping $A: S^n \to S^n$ given by A(p) = -p is an isometry of S^n . Use this fact to introduce a Riemannian metric on the real projective space $P^n(\mathbb{R})$ such that the natural projection $\pi: S^n \to P^n(\mathbb{R})$ is a local isometry.

Proof. a) A is an isometry of S^n .

We first claim that $T_p S^n = T_{A(p)} S^n$. It is enough to prove $T_p S^n \subset T_{A(p)} S^n$, since

$$T_{A(p)}S^n \subset \subset T_{A \circ A(p)}S^n = T_pS^n$$

Indeed, for any $v \in T_p S^n$, $\exists c : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \to S^n$ such that c(0) = p, c'(0) = v. Thus $A \circ c : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \to S^n$ is a curve with $A \circ c(0) = A(p), (A \circ c)'(0) = dA_p(c'(0)) = -c'(0) = -v$. Hence $-v \in T_{A(p)}S^n$ and $v \in T_{A(p)}S^n$ since $T_{A(p)}S^n$ is a linear space.

Now the fact A is an isometry of S^n is clear.

 $< dA_p(v), dA_p(w) >_{A(p)} = < -v, -w >_{-p} = < v, w >_{-p} = < v, w >_p$

b) Construction of a metric on $P^n(\mathbb{R})$ such that π is a local isometry. For any $p \in S^n, \pi(p) \in P^n(\mathbb{R})$, define

$$<(d\pi)_p(v),(d\pi)_p(w)>_{\pi(p)} \triangleq < v,w>_p$$

Indeed,

- Because of surjectivity of π and the construction of atlas on $P^n(\mathbb{R})$, the vector "on" $P^n(\mathbb{R})$ is of the form $(d\pi)_p(v), p \in S^n, v \in T_p(S^n)$.
- It is well-defined. Indeed, $(d\pi)_p$ is surjective, thus injective, hence the one-to-one correspondence between $(d\pi)_p(v)$ and v. And if $\pi(p) = \pi(q)$, then q = p or q = A(p). In the latter case,

$$(d\pi)_p(v) = (d(\pi \circ A))_p(v) = (d\pi)_{A(p)} \circ (dA)_p(v) = (d\pi)_{A(p)}(-v)$$
$$(d\pi)_p(w) = (d\pi)_{A(p)}(-w)$$
$$< -v, -w >_{A(p)} = < v, w >_p$$

• Since the action of G on M is properly continuous, by definition, π is a local isometry.

- 1.4 A function $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ given by g(t) = yt + x, $t, x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, y > 0, is called a proper affine function. The subset of all such function with respect to the usual composition law forms a Lie group G. As a differentiable manifold G is simply the upper half-plane $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2; y > 0\}$ with the differentiable structure induced from \mathbb{R}^2 . Prove that:
 - a) The left-invariant Riemannian metric on G which at the neutral element e = (0, 1) coincides with Euclidean metric $(g_{11} = 1 = g_{22}, g_{12} = 0 = g_{21})$ is given by $g_{11} = \frac{1}{y^2} = g_{22}, g_{12} = 0$, (this is the metric of the non-euclidean geometry of Lobatchevski).

- >

b) Putting $(x, y) = z = x + i y, i = \sqrt{-1}$, the transformation

$$z \mapsto z' = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}, \quad a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}, \quad ad-bc = 1$$

is an isometry of G.

Proof. a) • For any
$$g = (x, y) \in G$$
, $g^{-1} = \left(-\frac{x}{y}, \frac{1}{y}\right)$.
Indeed,
 $y\left(\frac{1}{y}t - \frac{x}{y}\right) + x = t = \frac{1}{y}(yt + x) - \frac{x}{y}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$

• Denote by

$$\partial_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \ \partial_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$

then

$$dL_{g^{-1}}(\partial_1) = \left(\frac{1}{y}, 0\right), \ dL_{g^{-1}}(\partial_2) = \left(0, \frac{1}{y}\right)$$

Since

$$\gamma(s) = (x + s, y), s \in \mathbb{R}$$

is a curve in G with $\gamma(0) = g, \gamma'(0) = \partial_1$, we get

$$dL_{g^{-1}}(\partial_1) = \frac{d}{ds}|_{s=0} \left[\frac{1}{y}(yt+x+s) - \frac{x}{y}\right]$$
$$= \frac{d}{ds}|_{s=0} \left(\frac{s}{y}, 1\right)$$
$$= \left(\frac{1}{y}, 0\right)$$

And $dL_{g^{-1}}(\partial_2) = \left(0, \frac{1}{y}\right)$ follows from the same lines. • The left-invariant Riemannian metric of G is given by

$$\langle v, w \rangle_g \triangleq \langle dL_{g^{-1}}(v), dL_{g^{-1}}(w) \rangle_e$$

Hence

$$g_{11} = \left\langle \left(\frac{1}{y}, 0\right), \left(\frac{1}{y}, 0\right) \right\rangle_e = \frac{1}{y^2}$$
$$g_{22} = \left\langle \left(0, \frac{1}{y}\right), \left(0, \frac{1}{y}\right) \right\rangle_e = \frac{1}{y^2}$$
$$g_{12} = g_{21} = \left\langle \left(0, \frac{1}{y}\right), \left(\frac{1}{y}, 0\right) \right\rangle_e = 0$$
degine d

as desired.

b) Since

$$\begin{cases} z = x + i \ y \\ \overline{z} = x - i \ y \end{cases}$$

,

We get

$$ds^{2} = \frac{dx^{2} + dy^{2}}{y^{2}} = \frac{-4dzdz'}{(z - \overline{z})^{2}}$$

Hence for the transform

$$z \mapsto z' = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}, \quad a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}, \quad ad-bc = 1$$

we've

$$dz' = \frac{dz}{(cz+d)^2}$$

Thus

$$\frac{-4dz'd\overline{z'}}{(z'-\overline{z'})^2} = \frac{-4dzd\overline{z}}{(z-\overline{z})^2}$$

as desired.

1.5 Prove that the isometries of $S^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, with the induced metric, are the restrictions of S^n of the linear orthogonal maps of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .

Proof. Denote by $Iso(S^n)$, $Iso(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ the isometries of S^n , \mathbb{R}^{n+1} respectively. The orthogonal maps of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} is O(n+1).

Clearly, $O(n+1) \subset Iso(S^n)$ because the metric on S^n is induced from \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . While for the converse, let $f \in Iso(S^n)$, define $F : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ by

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x = 0\\ f\left(\frac{x}{||x||}\right) ||x||, & \text{if } x \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

then $F \in O(n+1)$ since

$$\begin{split} F(x) \cdot y &= f\left(\frac{x}{||x||}\right) ||x|| \cdot y = f\left(\frac{x}{||x||}\right) \frac{y}{||y||} ||x||||y|| = \frac{x}{||x||} f\left(\frac{y}{||y||}\right) ||x||||y|| = x \cdot F(y) \\ & \text{if } 0 \neq x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}. \end{split}$$

2 Affine Connections; Riemannian Connections

2.2 Let X and Y be differentiable vector fields on a Riemannian manifold M. Let $p \in M$ and let $c : I \to M$ be an integral curve of X through p, i.e. $c(t_0) = p$ and $\frac{dc}{dt} = X(c(t))$. Prove that the Riemannian connection of M is $(\nabla_X Y)(p) = \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=t_0} (P_{c,t_0,t}^{-1}(Y(c(t))))$

where $P_{c,t_0,t}: T_{c(t_0)}M \to T_{c(t)}M$ is the parallel transport along c, from t_0 to t (this show how the connection can be reobtained from the concept of parallelism).

Proof. Let $(e_i)_{i=1}^n$ be an orthonormal basis for T_pM , $e_i(t) = P_{c,t_0,t}$, i.e. $\nabla_{c'(t)}e_i(t) = 0$, thus $(e_i(t))_{i=1}^n$ is an orthonormal basis for $T_{c(t)}M$. Indeed,

$$\nabla_{c'(t)} < e_i(t), e_j(t) > = < \nabla_{c'(t)} e_i(t), e_j(t) > + < e_i(t), \nabla_{c'(t)} e_j(t) > = 0$$
$$< e_i(t), e_j(t) > = < e_i, e_j > = \delta_i^j$$

Now, we can write

$$Y(c(t)) = Y^i(t)e_i(t)$$

and the calculation as follows

$$\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=t_0}(P_{c,t_0,t}^{-1}(Y(c(t)))) = \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=t_0}(P_{c,t_0,t}^{-1}(Y^i(t)e_i(t)))$$

$$= \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=t_0}(Y^i(t)e_i)$$

$$= \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=t_0}(Y^i(t))e_i$$

$$= (\nabla_{c'(t)}(Y^i(t))e_i(t))|_{t=t_0}$$

$$= (\nabla_{C'(t)}(Y^i(t)e_i(t))|_{t=t_0}$$

$$= (\nabla_X Y)(p)$$

2.3 Let $f: M^n \to \overline{M}^{n+k}$ be an immersion of a differentiable manifold M into a Riemannian manifold \overline{M} . Assume that M has the Riemannian metric induced by f (c.f. Example 2.5 of Chapter 1). Let $p \in M$ and let $U \subset M$ be a neighborhood of p such that $f(U) \subset \overline{M}$ is a submanifold of \overline{M} . Further, suppose that X, Y are differentiable vector fileds on f(U) which extend to differentiable vector fields $\overline{X}, \overline{Y}$ on an open set of \overline{M} . Define $(\nabla_X Y)(p) =$ tangential component of $\overline{\nabla_X} \overline{Y}(p)$, where $\overline{\nabla}$ is the Riemannian connection of \overline{M} . Prove that ∇ is the Riemannian connection of M.

Proof. Denote by

$$\nabla_X Y = (\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{X}} \overline{Y})^\top$$

then

• ∇ is compatible with the metric on M. For all $p \in M, f(p) \in f(M)$.

$$\begin{aligned} X < Y, Z > (p) &= \overline{X} < \overline{Y}, \overline{Z} > (p) \\ &= < \overline{\nabla}_{\overline{X}} \overline{Y}, \overline{Z} > (p) + < \overline{Y}, \overline{\nabla}_{\overline{X}} \overline{Z} > (p) \\ &= < \overline{\nabla}_{\overline{X}} \overline{Y}, Z > (p) + < Y, \overline{\nabla}_{\overline{X}} \overline{Z} > (p) \\ &= < \nabla_{X} Y, Z > (p) + < Y, \nabla_{X} Z > (p) \end{aligned}$$

• ∇ is torsion-free. For all $p \in M, f(p) \in f(M)$.

$$(\nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X)(p) = (\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{X}} \overline{Y} - \overline{\nabla}_{\overline{Y}} \overline{X})^\top (p) = [\overline{X}, \overline{Y}]^\top (p) = [X, Y](p)$$

For the last equality, we see in local coordinate,

$$\begin{split} [\overline{X}, \overline{Y}]^{\top}(p) &= \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^{n+k} \left\{ \overline{X}^{i} \frac{\partial \overline{Y}^{j}}{\partial x^{i}} - \overline{Y}^{i} \frac{\partial \overline{X}^{j}}{\partial x^{i}} \right\} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}} \right)^{\top}(p) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n+k} \left\{ X^{i} \frac{\partial \overline{Y}^{j}}{\partial x^{i}} - Y^{i} \frac{\partial \overline{X}^{j}}{\partial x^{i}} \right\} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}} \right)^{\top}(p) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \left\{ X^{i} \frac{\partial Y^{j}}{\partial x^{i}} - Y^{i} \frac{\partial X^{j}}{\partial x^{i}} \right\} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}} \right)(p) \\ &= [X, Y](p) \end{split}$$

The third equality holds because $\nabla_X Y(p)$ depends only on X(p) and Y(c(t)) where c(t) is an integral curve for X through p.

Thus ∇ is the Riemannian connection of M.

2.8 Consider the upper half-plane

$$\mathbb{R}^2_+ = \left\{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2; \ y > 0 \right\}$$

with the metric given by $g_{11} = \frac{1}{y^2} = g_{22}, g_{12} = 0 = g_{21}$ (metric of Lobatchevski's non-euclidean geometry).

a) Show that the Christoffel symbols of the Riemannian connection are:

$$\Gamma_{11}^1 = \Gamma_{12}^2 = \Gamma_{22}^1 = 0, \ \Gamma_{11}^2 = \frac{1}{y}, \ \Gamma_{12}^1 = \Gamma_{22}^2 = -\frac{1}{y}$$

b) Let $v_0 = (0, 1)$ be a tangent vector at point (0, 1) of \mathbb{R}^2_+ (v_0 is a unit vector on the y-axis with origin at (0, 1)). Let v(t) be the parallel transport of v_0 along the curve x = t, y = 1. Show that v(t) makes an angle t with the direction of y-axis, measured in the clockwise sense.

Proof. a) We've

$$\Gamma_{ij}^{k} = \frac{1}{2}g^{kl} \left(\frac{\partial g_{il}}{\partial x^{j}} + \frac{\partial g_{lj}}{\partial x^{i}} - \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x^{l}} \right)$$
$$= \frac{y^{2}}{2} \left(\frac{\partial g_{ik}}{\partial x^{j}} + \frac{\partial g_{kj}}{\partial x^{i}} - \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x^{k}} \right)$$
$$= \frac{y^{2}}{2} \cdot \frac{-2}{y^{3}} \left(\frac{\partial x^{2}}{\partial x^{j}} \delta_{ik} + \frac{\partial x^{2}}{\partial x^{i}} \delta_{kj} - \frac{\partial x^{2}}{\partial x^{k}} \delta_{ij} \right)$$

Thus

$$\begin{cases} \Gamma_{11}^1 = \Gamma_{12}^2 = \Gamma_{22}^1 = 0\\ \Gamma_{11}^2 = \frac{1}{y}\\ \Gamma_{12}^1 = \Gamma_{22}^2 = -\frac{1}{y} \end{cases}$$

b) Let v(t) = (a(t), b(t)) be the parallel field along the curve x = t, y = 1 with

$$v(0) = (0,1), \quad v'(0) = v_0 = (0,1)$$

Then from the geodesic equations, we've

$$\begin{cases} \frac{da}{dt} + \Gamma_{12}^1 b = 0\\ \frac{db}{dt} + \Gamma_{11}^2 a = 0 \end{cases}$$

Taking $a = \cos \theta(t)$, $b = \sin \theta(t)$ (since parallel transport preserves inner product, we may just assume this.) then the above equations imply

$$\frac{d\theta}{dt} = -1$$

While we know $v_0 = (0, 1)$, thus

$$\theta_0 = \frac{\pi}{2}$$

Hence

$$\theta = \frac{\pi}{2} - t$$

as desired.

3 Geodesics; Convex Neighborhoods

3.7 (Geodesic frame). Let M be a Riemannian manifold of dimension n and let $p \in M$. Show that there exists a neighborhood $U \subset M$ of p and n vector fileds $E_1, \dots, E_n \in \mathfrak{X}(U)$, orthonormal at each point of U, such that, at p, $\nabla_{E_i} E_j(p) = 0$.

Such a family E_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, of vector fields is called a (local) geodesic frame at p.

Proof. Let $U = \exp_p(B_{\epsilon}(0))$ be a normal neighborhood of p small enough, $(e_i)_{i=1}^n$ be an orthonormal basis of T_pM . For any $q \in U$, let γ be the radial geodesic joining p to q. Using parallel transport, we get

$$E_i \in \mathfrak{X}(U), i = 1, \cdots, n$$

defined by

$$E_i(q) = P_{\gamma, p, q}(e_i)$$

We have

- E_i orthonormal, since parallel transport preserves the inner product;
- $\nabla_{E_i} E_j(p) = 0$, since $\nabla_v E_i = 0, \forall v \in T_p M$.

3.9 Let M be a Riemannian manifold. Define an operator $\Delta : \mathfrak{D}(M) \to \mathfrak{D}(M)$ (the Laplacian of M) by

$$\triangle f = div \ \nabla f, \ f \in \mathfrak{D}(M)$$

a) Let E_i be a geodesic frame at $p \in M, i = 1, \dots, n = \dim M$ (see Exercise 7). Prove that

$$\Delta f(p) = \sum_{i} E_i(E_i(f))(p)$$

Conclude that if $M = \mathbb{R}^n$, \triangle coincides with the usual Laplacian, namely, $\triangle f = \sum_i \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}$.

b) Show that

$$\triangle(f\cdot g) = f \triangle g + g \triangle f + 2 < \nabla f, \nabla g >$$

Proof. a) Firstly, $\nabla f(p) = \sum_i E_i(f)E_i(p)$

$$\langle \nabla f, E_i \rangle (p) = df_p(E_i) = E_i(p)f = (E_i f)(p)$$

Secondly, $\triangle f(p) = \sum_i E_i(E_i(f))(p)$

$$\Delta f(p) = (div\nabla f)(p) = (div(\sum_{i} (E_i f) E_i))(p) = \sum_{i} (\nabla_{E_i} (E_i f))(p) = \sum_{i} E_i(E_i (f))(p)$$

Lastly, if $M = \mathbb{R}^n$, since $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right)_{i=1}^n$ is an orthonormal basis for $T_p\mathbb{R}^n, \forall p \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we get

$$\triangle f = \sum_{i} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i^2}$$

b) For $p \in M$, let $(E_i)_{i=1}^n$ be a geodesic frame at $p \in M$, then

$$\begin{split} \triangle(f \cdot g)(p) &= \sum_{i} E_{i}(E_{i}(f \cdot g))(p) \\ &= \sum_{i} E_{i}(g \cdot E_{i}f + f \cdot E_{i}g)(p) \\ &= \sum_{i} (E_{i}f \cdot E_{i}g + g \cdot E_{i}(E_{i}f) + E_{i}f \cdot E_{i}g + f \cdot E_{i}(E_{i}g))(p) \\ &= (f \triangle g + g \triangle f + 2 < \nabla f, \nabla g >)(p) \end{split}$$

The last equality follows from

$$\langle \nabla f, \nabla g \rangle (p) = \langle \sum_{i} E_{i}(f)E_{i}, \sum_{j} E_{j}(g)E_{j} \rangle (p) = \sum_{i,j} (E_{i}f \cdot E_{j}g)\delta_{ij} = \sum_{i} E_{i}f \cdot E_{i}g$$

4 Curvature

4.7 Prove the 2nd Bianchi Identity:

$$\nabla R(X, Y, Z, W, T) + \nabla R(X, Y, W, T, Z) + \nabla R(X, Y, T, Z, W) = 0$$

for all $X, Y, Z, W, T \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.

Proof. Since the objects involved are all tensors, it suffices to prove the equality at a point $p \in M$. If we choose a geodesic frame $(E_i)_{i=1}^n$ at p. We've

$$\nabla_{E_i} E_j(p) = 0, [E_i, E_j](p) = (\nabla_{E_i} E_j - \nabla_{E_j} E_i)(p) = 0, \ \forall i, j \in \{1, \cdots, n\}$$

And it suffices to prove in case

$$X = E_i, Y = E_i, Z = E_k, W = E_l, T = E_m$$

also.Hence

$$\nabla R(E_i, E_j, E_k, E_l, E_m)(p) = (\nabla_{E_m} R)(E_i, E_j, E_k, E_l)(p)$$

$$(definition)$$

$$= \nabla_{E_m} (R(E_i, E_j, E_k, E_l))(p)$$

$$(Leibniz formula and geodesic frame)$$

$$= \nabla_{E_m} (R(E_k, E_l, E_i, E_j))(p)$$

$$(Riemann connection)$$

$$= \nabla_{E_m} < -\nabla_{E_k} \nabla_{E_l} E_i + \nabla_{E_l} \nabla_{E_k} E_i + \nabla_{[E_k, E_l]} E_i, E_j > (p)$$

$$(definition)$$

$$= < -\nabla_{E_m} \nabla_{E_k} \nabla_{E_l} + \nabla_{E_m} \nabla_{E_l} \nabla_{E_k} E_i + \nabla_{E_m} \nabla_{[E_k, E_l]} E_i, E_j > (p)$$

$$(metric and geodesic frame)$$

and

$$\begin{split} R(E_i, E_j, E_k, E_l, E_m)(p) + R(E_i, E_j, E_l, E_m, E_k)(p) + R(E_i, E_j, E_m, E_k, E_l)(p) \\ &= < -\nabla_{E_m} \nabla_{E_k} \nabla_{E_l} E_i + \nabla_{E_m} \nabla_{E_l} \nabla_{E_k} E_i + \nabla_{E_m} \nabla_{[E_k, E_l]} E_i, E_j > (p) \\ &+ < -\nabla_{E_k} \nabla_{E_m} \nabla_{E_k} E_i + \nabla_{E_k} \nabla_{E_m} \nabla_{E_l} E_i + \nabla_{E_k} \nabla_{[E_m, E_k]} E_i, E_j > (p) \\ &+ < -\nabla_{E_l} \nabla_{E_m} \nabla_{E_k} E_i + \nabla_{E_l} \nabla_{E_m} E_i + \nabla_{E_l} \nabla_{[E_m, E_k]} E_i, E_j > (p) \\ &+ < (-\nabla_{E_m} \nabla_{E_k} + \nabla_{E_k} \nabla_{E_m} + \nabla_{[E_m, E_k]})(\nabla_{E_l} E_i), E_j > (p) \\ &+ < (-\nabla_{[E_m, E_k]} \nabla_{E_l} + \nabla_{E_l} \nabla_{[E_m, E_k]} + \nabla_{[[E_m, E_k], E_l]})E_i, E_j > (p) \\ &- < \nabla_{[[E_m, E_k], E_l]} E_i, E_j > (p) \\ &+ < (-\nabla_{E_l} \nabla_{E_m} + \nabla_{E_m} \nabla_{E_l} + \nabla_{[E_l, E_m]})(\nabla_{E_k} E_i), E_j > (p) \\ &+ < (-\nabla_{E_l} \nabla_{E_m} + \nabla_{E_k} \nabla_{[E_l, E_m]} + \nabla_{[[E_l, E_m], E_k]})E_i, E_j > (p) \\ &- < \nabla_{[[E_l, E_m], E_k]} E_i, E_j > (p) \\ &+ < (-\nabla_{E_k} \nabla_{E_l} + \nabla_{E_l} \nabla_{E_k} + \nabla_{[E_k, E_l]})(\nabla_{E_m} E_i), E_j > (p) \\ &+ < (-\nabla_{E_k} \nabla_{E_l} + \nabla_{E_m} \nabla_{E_k} + \nabla_{[E_k, E_l]})(\nabla_{E_m} E_i), E_j > (p) \\ &+ < (-\nabla_{E_k, E_l} - \nabla_{E_k} \nabla_{E_k} + \nabla_{E_k} \nabla_{[E_k, E_l]})(\nabla_{E_m} E_k), E_j > (p) \\ &+ < (-\nabla_{[E_k, E_l]} \nabla_{E_m} + \nabla_{E_m} \nabla_{[E_k, E_l]} + \nabla_{[[E_k, E_l], E_m]})E_i, E_j > (p) \\ &+ < (-\nabla_{[E_k, E_l]} \nabla_{E_m} + \nabla_{E_m} \nabla_{[E_k, E_l]} + \nabla_{[[E_k, E_l], E_m]})E_i, E_j > (p) \\ &- < \nabla_{[[E_k, E_l], E_m]} E_i, E_j > (p) \\ &+ R(E_k, E_l, \nabla_{E_m} E_i, E_j)(p) + R([E_m, E_k], E_l, E_k, E_j)(p) \\ &+ R(E_k, E_l, \nabla_{E_m} E_i, E_j)(p) + R([E_k, E_l], E_m, E_i, E_j)(p) \\ &+ R(E_k, E_l, \nabla_{E_m} E_i, E_j)(p) + R([E_k, E_l], E_m, E_i, E_j)(p) \\ &+ R(E_k, E_l, \nabla_{E_m} E_i, E_j)(p) + R([E_k, E_l], E_m, E_i, E_j)(p) \\ &- < \nabla_{[[E_m, E_k], E_l] + [[E_l, E_m], E_k]} E_i, E_j > (p) (definition) \\ &= 0 (\text{geodesic and Jacobi identity}) \end{aligned}$$

4.8 (Schur's Theorem). Let M^n be a connected Riemannian manifold with $n \geq 3$. Suppose that M is isotropic, that is, for each $p \in M$, the sectional curvature $K(p, \sigma)$ does not depend on $\sigma \subset T_p M$. Prove that M has constant sectional curvature, that is, $K(p, \sigma)$ also does not depend on p.

Proof. For any $p \in M$, choose a geodesic frame $(E_i)_{i=1}^n$ at p, i.e. $(E_i)_{i=1}^n$ orthonormal in a neighborhood of p and $\nabla_{E_i} E_j(p) = 0$. Denote by

$$R_{ijkl} = R(E_i, E_j, E_k, E_l)(p)$$

 $\nabla_m R_{ijkl} = (\nabla_{E_m} R)(E_i, E_j, E_k, E_l)(p) = \nabla_{E_m} (R(E_i, E_j, E_k, E_l))(p)$

Since the sectional curvature uniquely determines the Riemann curvature, we've:

if $K(p,\sigma) = f(p)$, then

•
$$R_{ijkl} = f(p)(\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} - \delta_{il}\delta_{jk})$$

•
$$Ric_{ij} = \sum_{k} R_{ikjk} = f(p)\sum_{k} (\delta_{ij} - \delta_{ik}\delta_{kj}) = (n-1)f(p)\delta_{ij}$$

•
$$R = \sum_{i} R_{ii} = n(n-1)f(p)$$

From the 2nd Bianchi identity,

$$\nabla_i R_{ijkj} + \nabla_k R_{ijji} + \nabla_j R_{ijik} = 0$$

Summing over i, j over $\{1, \dots, n\}$, one gets

$$\sum_{i} \nabla_{i} R_{ik} - \nabla_{k} R + \sum_{j} \nabla_{j} R_{jk} = 0$$
$$2 \sum_{i} \nabla_{i} R_{ik} - \nabla_{k} R = 0$$
$$2(n-1)\nabla_{k} f(p) - n(n-1)\nabla_{k} f(p) = 0$$
$$(n-2)(n-1)\nabla_{k} f(p) = 0$$

Thus

$$\nabla_k f(p) = 0, \ \forall k$$

since $n \geq 3$. Finally,

$$K(p,\sigma) = f \equiv Const$$

since M is connected.

5 Jacobi Fields

5.3 Let M be a Riemannian manifold with non-positive sectional curvature. Prove that, for all p, the conjugate locus C(p) is empty.

Proof. For any $p \in M$, if $C(p) \neq$, i.e. $\exists q \in C(p)$, then

$$\exists \begin{cases} \text{geodesic } \gamma : [0, a] \to M \\ \text{Jacobi filed } J \neq 0 \end{cases} \quad s.t. \begin{cases} \gamma(0) = p, \gamma(a) = q \\ J(0) = 0 = J(a) \end{cases}$$

From the Jacobi equation,

$$J'' + R(\gamma', J)\gamma' = 0$$

We know

$$\langle J', J \rangle' = \langle J'', J \rangle + \langle J', J' \rangle$$

= $- \langle R(\gamma', J)\gamma', J \rangle + \langle J', J' \rangle$
= $-K_M(\gamma', J)||\gamma' \wedge J||^2 + \langle J', J' \rangle$
> 0

Since M is of non-positive sectional curvature. Thus

$$0 = \langle J'(0), J(0) \rangle \leq \langle J', J \rangle \leq \langle J'(a), J(a) \rangle = 0$$

$$\langle J', J \rangle = 0$$

$$\langle J, J \rangle' = 2 \langle J', J \rangle = 0$$

$$||J||^{2} = ||J(0)|| = 0$$

A contradiction.

5.4 Let b < 0 and let M be a manifold with constant negative sectional curvature equal to b. Let $\gamma : [0, l] \to M$ be a normalized geodesic, and let $v \in T_{\gamma(l)}M$ such that $\langle v, \gamma'(l) \rangle = 0$ and let |v| = 1. Since M has negative curvature, $\gamma(l)$ is not conjugate to $\gamma(0)$ (See Exercise 3). Show that the Jacobi field Jalong γ determined by J(0) = 0, J(l) = v is given by

$$J(t) = \frac{\sinh(t\sqrt{-b})}{\sinh(l\sqrt{-b})}w(t)$$

where w(t) is the parallel transport along γ of the vector

$$w(0) = \frac{u_0}{|u_0|}, \ u_0 = (dexp_p)_{l\gamma'(0)}^{-1}(v)$$

and where u_0 is considered as a vector $T_{\gamma(0)}M$ by the identification $T_{\gamma(0)}M \approx T_{l\gamma'(0)}(T_{\gamma(0)}M)$

Proof. • The Jacobi field \tilde{J} along γ with $\tilde{J}(0) = 0, \tilde{J}'(0) = w(0) \in T_{\gamma(0)}M$ is of the form

$$\tilde{J}(t) = \frac{\sinh(t\sqrt{-b})}{\sqrt{-b}}w(t)$$

where w(t) is the parallel transport of w(0) along γ . Indeed, let $(E_i)_{i=1}^n$ be an orthonormal basis for $T_{\gamma(0)}M, (E_i(t))_{i=1}^n$ be parallel transport of E_i along γ . Then if we write

$$\tilde{J}(t) = \sum_{i} \tilde{J}_{i}(t) E_{i}(t) \in T_{\gamma(t)} M$$
$$w(0) = \sum_{i} w_{i} E_{i} \in T_{\gamma(0)} M$$

One gets from the Jacobi equation that

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{J}_i''(t) + b\tilde{J}_i(t) = 0\\ \tilde{J}_i(0) = 0\\ \tilde{J}_i'(0) = w_i \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\tilde{J}(t) = \frac{\sinh(t\sqrt{-b})}{\sqrt{-b}}w_i$$

$$\tilde{J}(t) = \sum_{i} J_i(t) E_i(t) = \frac{\sinh(t\sqrt{-b})}{\sqrt{-b}} \sum_{i} w_i E_i(t) = \frac{\sinh(t\sqrt{-b})}{\sqrt{-b}} w(t)$$

• One can write $\tilde{J}(t) = (dexp_p)_{t\gamma'(0)}(tw(0))$ This is just another saying that Jacobi filed is the variational field of geodesic.

• Since

$$J(l) = v = (dexp_p)_{l\gamma'(0)}(u_0) = (dexp_p)_{l\gamma'(0)} \left(l \frac{u_0}{|u_0|} \cdot \frac{|u_0|}{l} \right) = \frac{|u_0|}{l} \tilde{J}(l)$$

We have

$$J(t) = \frac{u_0}{l}\tilde{J}(t) = \frac{u_0}{l}\frac{\sinh(t\sqrt{-b})}{\sqrt{-b}}w(t)$$

Indeed,

M is of negative sectional curvature

$$\Rightarrow C(\gamma(0)) = \emptyset$$

 \Rightarrow Jacobi field J along γ is uniquely determined by J(0), J(l)

• Since

$$1 = |v| = |J(l)| = \frac{|u_0|}{l} \frac{\sinh(l\sqrt{-b})}{\sqrt{-b}}$$

We have

$$\frac{|u_0|}{l} = \frac{\sqrt{-b}}{\sinh(l\sqrt{-b})}$$

and finally

$$J(t) = \frac{\sinh(t\sqrt{-b})}{\sinh(l\sqrt{-b})}w(t)$$

6 Isometric Immersions

6.3 Let M be a Riemannian manifold and let $N \subset K \subset M$ be a submanifolds of M. Suppose that N is totally geodesic in K and that K is totally geodesic in M. Prove that N is totally geodesic M.

Proof. From the hypothesis, we know, every geodesic in N is a geodesic in K, thus a geodesic in M, hence the assertion.

6.11 Let $f: \overline{M}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable function. Define the Hessian, Hess f of f at $p \in \overline{M}$ as the linear operator

$$\begin{array}{rcl} Hessf: T_p\overline{M} & \to & T_p\overline{M} \\ (Hessf)Y & = & \overline{\nabla}_Y\overline{\nabla}f, \ Y\in T_p\overline{M} \end{array}$$

where $\overline{\nabla}$ is the Riemannian connection of \overline{M} . Let a be a regular value of \underline{f} and let $M^n \subset \overline{M}^{n+1}$ be the hypersurface in \overline{M} defined by $M = \{p \in \overline{M}; f(p) = a\}$. Prove that

a) The Laplacian $\overline{\bigtriangleup} f$ is given by

$$\overline{\Delta}f = trac \; Hessf$$

b) If $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(\overline{M})$, then

$$<(Hessf)Y, X > =$$

Conclude that Hess f is self-adjoint, hence determines a symmetric bilinear form on $T_p \overline{M}, p \in \overline{M}$, is given by

$$(Hessf)(X,Y) = <(Hessf)X, Y >, X, Y \in T_p\overline{M}$$

c) The mean curvature H of $M \subset \overline{M}$ is given by

$$nH = -div\left(\frac{\overline{\nabla}f}{|\overline{\nabla}f|}\right)$$

d) Observe that every embedded hypersurface $M^n \subset \overline{M}^{n+1}$ is locally the inverse image of a regular value. Conclude from c) that the mean curvature H of such a hypersurface is given by

$$H = -\frac{1}{n} divN$$

where N is an appropriate local extension of the unit normal vector field on $M^n \subset \overline{M}^{n+1}$.

Proof. a) For any $p \in \overline{M}$, let $(E_i)_{i=1}^{n+1}$ be otherwork basis for $T_p\overline{M}$, then

$$\overline{\Delta}f = div_{\overline{M}}\overline{\nabla}f$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} < \overline{\nabla}_{E_i}\overline{\nabla}f, E_i >$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} < (Hessf)E_i, E_i >$$

$$= trace Hessf$$

b)

$$< (Hessf)Y, X > = < \overline{\nabla}_Y \overline{\nabla} f, X > (definition)$$

 $= Y < \overline{\nabla} f, X > - < \overline{\nabla} f, \overline{\nabla}_Y, X > (metric)$
 $= YXf - (\overline{\nabla}_Y X)f(definition)$
 $= XYf - (\overline{\nabla}_Y X)f(definition and torsion-free property)$
 $= < Y, (Hessf)X >$

c) Take an orthonormal frame $E_1, \dots, E_n, E_{n+1} = \frac{\overline{\nabla}f}{|\overline{\nabla}f|} = \eta$ in a neighbor $\overline{\nabla}f$

borhood of $p \in M$ in \overline{M} , then

$$nH = trace S_{\eta}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle S_{\eta}(E_{i}), E_{i} \rangle$$

$$= -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle \overline{\nabla}_{E_{i}}\eta, E_{i} \rangle - \langle \overline{\nabla}_{\eta}\eta, \eta \rangle$$

$$= -\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \langle \overline{\nabla}_{E_{i}}\eta, E_{i} \rangle$$

$$= -div_{\overline{M}}\eta$$

$$= -div\left(\frac{\overline{\nabla}f}{|\overline{\nabla}f|}\right)$$

d) As a simple consequence of implicit function theorem, for any $p \in M$, there is a coordinate neighborhood (U, x) in \overline{M} of p such that

$$M \cap U = x\{x_{n+1} = 0\}$$

[See S.S.Chern: Lectures on Differential Geometry, for example.] If we take $f: \overline{M} \to \mathbb{R}$ defined locally by

$$f \circ x = x_{n+1}$$

then

$$\overline{\nabla}f\in (T_qM)^{\perp}, \ \forall q\in\overline{M}\cap U$$

Indeed,

$$<\overline{\nabla}f, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}>=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}f = dx\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right)f = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(f \circ x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}x_{n+1} = 0, \ \forall 1 \le i \le n$$

Thus from c),

$$H = -\frac{1}{n}div\left(\frac{\nabla f}{|\overline{\nabla}f|}\right) = -\frac{1}{n}div \ N$$

r-	-1	

RIEMANNIAN GEOMETRY

7 Complete Manifolds; Hopf-Rinow and Hadamard Theorems

7.6 A geodesic $\gamma : [0, +\infty) \to M$ in a Riemannian manifold M is called a ray starting from $\gamma(0)$ if it minimizes the distance between $\gamma(0)$ and $\gamma(s)$, for any $s \in (0, \infty)$. Assume that M is complete, non-compact, and let $p \in M$. Show that M contains a ray starting from P.

Proof. Argue by contradiction.

M contains no ray starting from p

- $\Leftrightarrow \text{ for any } \gamma : [0, \infty) \to M \text{ with } \gamma(0) = p, \exists s \in (0, \infty), \ s.t. \ \gamma|_{[0,s]}$ does not minimizes the distance between p and $\gamma(s)$
- \Leftrightarrow for any $v \in T_p M$ with $|v| = 1, \exists s \in (0, \infty), s.t. \exp_p(tv), t \in [0, s]$ does not minimizes the distance between p and $\exp_p(sv)$

Define

$$\begin{array}{rcl} c:T_pM & \to & \mathbb{R}^+ \\ & v & \mapsto & c(v) = \inf s < \infty \end{array}$$

where the inf is taken over all s such that $\exp_p(tv), t \in [0, s]$ does not minimizes the distance between p and $\exp_p(sv)$. Clearly,

- $c(v) = \inf s = \min s;$
- c is a continuous function of v.

This is done by careful analysis, see Chapter 13 for example. Since $\{v \in T_pM; |v| = 1\}$ is a compact set, we know c is bounded, i.e. $\max c < \infty$, thus

$$M = B(p, \max c + 1)$$

Hence M is compact by Hopf-Rinow Theorem, a contradiction.

7.7 Let M and \overline{M} be Riemannian manifolds and let $f: M \to \overline{M}$ be a diffeomorphism. Assume that \overline{M} is complete and that there exists a constant c > 0 such that

$$|v| \ge c |df_p(v)|$$

for all $p \in M$ and all $v \in T_p M$. Prove that M is complete.

Proof. • $p, q \in M \Rightarrow d_M(p,q) \ge c \cdot d_{\overline{M}}(f(p), f(q))$ Indeed, for any piecewise differentiable curve γ joining p to $q, f \circ \gamma$ is such one joining f(p) to f(q), thus

$$\begin{split} l(\gamma) &= \int_{a}^{b} |\gamma'(t)| dt \\ &\geq c \int_{a}^{b} |df(\gamma'(t))| dt \\ &= c \int_{a}^{b} |(f \circ \gamma)'(t)| dt \\ &\geq c \cdot d_{\overline{M}}(f(p), f(q)) \end{split}$$

Taking inf over all such curves, one gets

$$d_M(p,q) \ge c \cdot d_{\overline{M}}(f(p), f(q))$$

• M is complete as a metric space For any Cauchy sequence $(p_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset M$, we've, from

 $d_M(p_n, p_m) \ge c \cdot d_{\overline{M}}(f(p_n), f(p_m))$

that $(f(p_n))_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \overline{M}$ a Cauchy sequence, hence converges to some point, $q \in \overline{M}$, say. Then

$$p_n = f^{-1}(f(p_n)) \to f^{-1}(q) \in M \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

7.10 Prove that the upper half-plane \mathbb{R}^2_+ with the Lobatchevski metric:

$$g_{11} = \frac{1}{y^2} = g_{22}, \quad g_{12} = 0 = g_{21}$$

is complete.

Proof. We write $\mathbb{H}^2 = (\mathbb{R}^2, g)$.

• Lemma Let $f: (M, g) \to (\overline{M}, \overline{g})$ be an isometry between two Riemannian manifolds, then

$$df\left(\nabla_X Y\right) = \overline{\nabla}_{df(X)} df(Y), \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$$

where $\nabla, \overline{\nabla}$ are Riemann connections of M, \overline{M} respectively. In other words, isometries preserve Riemann connections. **Proof of the Lemma** We simply use Koszul formula as follows.

 $2\overline{g}\left(df(\nabla_X Y), df(Z)\right)\right)\circ f$

$$= 2g(\nabla_X Y, Z) \ (isometry)$$

$$= Xg(Y,Z) + Yg(Z,X) - Zg(X,Y)$$

-g(X,[Y,Z]) + g(Y,[Z,X]) + g(Z,[X,Y]) (Koszulformula)

- $= X \left(\overline{g}(df(Y), df(Z)) \circ f\right) \dots \overline{g} \left(df(X), df([X, Y])\right) \circ f + \dots$
- $= (df(X)\overline{g}(df(Y), df(Z))) \circ f \dots \overline{g}(df(X), [df(Y), df(Z)]) \circ f$

$$= 2\overline{g}\left(\nabla_{df(X)}df(Y), df(Z)\right) \circ f$$

• Claim

$$\gamma(t) = (0, e^t) = ie^t, \quad t \in [0, \infty)$$

is the geodesic with data (e = (0, 1) = i, dy = (0, 1) = i). **Method 1** we've only to show each portion of γ minimize curve length. To this end, for $c : [a, b] \to \mathbb{H}^2$ with $c(a) = a \ge 1, c(b) = b \ge 1$,

$$\begin{split} l(c) &= \int_{a}^{b} \left| \frac{dc}{dt} \right| dt \\ &= \int_{a}^{b} \sqrt{\left(\frac{dx}{dt}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{dy}{dt}\right)^{2}} \frac{dt}{y} \\ &\geq \int_{a}^{b} \left| \frac{dy}{dt} \right| \frac{dt}{y} \\ &\geq \int_{a}^{b} \frac{dy}{y} \\ &= \int_{\ln a}^{\ln b} dt \\ &= l \left(\gamma |_{[\ln a, \ln b]} \right) \end{split}$$

Method 2 We just see γ satisfies the geodesic equation. Indeed, since the Christoffel symbols are

$$\begin{cases} \Gamma_{11}^1 = \Gamma_{12}^2 = \Gamma_{22}^1 = 0\\ \Gamma_{11}^2 = \frac{1}{y}\\ \Gamma_{12}^1 = \Gamma_{22}^2 = -\frac{1}{y} \end{cases}$$

Thus

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}e^t + \Gamma_{22}^2 \cdot e^t \cdot e^t = e^t - \frac{1}{e^t} \cdot e^{2t} = 0$$

• Claim

$$\gamma_{\theta}(t) = \frac{\cos\frac{\theta}{2} \cdot ie^t - \sin\frac{\theta}{2}}{\sin\frac{\theta}{2} \cdot ie^t + \cos\frac{\theta}{2}}, \quad t \in [0, \infty)$$

is the geodesic in \mathbb{H}^2 with data $(e, v = (\sin \theta, \cos \theta))$, where $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. Hence by Hopf-Rinow theorem, \mathbb{H}^2 is complete. **Proof of the Claim**

 $\checkmark \gamma_{\theta}$, as the image of $\gamma_0 = \gamma$ under the isometry of \mathbb{H}^2 :

$$z \mapsto \frac{\cos\frac{\theta}{2} \cdot z - \sin\frac{\theta}{2}}{\sin\frac{\theta}{2} \cdot z + \cos\frac{\theta}{2}}$$

is geodesic;

 $\checkmark \gamma_{\theta}(0) = i = (0, 1) = e;$

$$\gamma_{\theta}'(0) = \frac{1}{\left(\sin\frac{\theta}{2} \cdot ie^{t} + \cos\frac{\theta}{2}\right)^{2}} \cdot ie^{t}|_{t=0}$$
$$= i\left(\cos\frac{\theta}{2} - i\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\right)^{2}$$
$$= i(\cos\theta - i\sin\theta)$$
$$= \sin\theta + i\sin\theta$$
$$= v.$$

Remark In the proof we construct all geodesics starting from e = (0, 1).

- If v = (0, 1), the geodesic being $(0, e^t)$;
- If v = (0, -1), the geodesic being $0, e^{-t}$;
- If $v = (\sin \theta, \cos \theta), \ \theta \neq k\pi, \ k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we've the geodesic γ_{θ} satisfies

$$|\gamma_{\theta}(t) - \cot \theta| = |\csc \theta|$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} &|\gamma_{\theta}(t)|^{2} - 2\Re(\gamma_{\theta}(t) \cdot \cot \theta) \\ &= \frac{\sin^{2} \frac{\theta}{2} + e^{2t} \cos^{2} \frac{\theta}{2}}{\cos^{2} \frac{\theta}{2} + e^{2t} \sin^{2} \frac{\theta}{2}} - 2\Re\left(\frac{\cos \frac{\theta}{2} \cdot ie^{t} - \sin \frac{\theta}{2}}{\sin \frac{\theta}{2} \cdot ie^{t} + \cos \frac{\theta}{2}}\right) \cdot \frac{1 - \tan^{2} \frac{\theta}{2}}{2 \tan \frac{\theta}{2}} \\ &= \frac{\tan^{2} \frac{\theta}{2} + e^{2t}}{1 + e^{2t} \tan^{2} \frac{\theta}{2}} - 2\frac{(e^{2t} - 1) \tan \frac{\theta}{2}}{1 + e^{2t} \tan^{2} \frac{\theta}{2}} \cdot \frac{1 - \tan^{2} \frac{\theta}{2}}{2 \tan \frac{\theta}{2}} \\ &= \frac{1 + e^{2t} \tan^{2} \frac{\theta}{2}}{1 + e^{2t} \tan^{2} \frac{\theta}{2}} \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, since \mathbb{H}^2 is a Lie group, all geodesics in \mathbb{H}^2 is known. 8 Spaces of Constant Curvature

8.1 Consider, on a neighborhood in \mathbb{R}^n , n > 2 the metric

$$g_{ij} = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{F^2}$$

where $F \neq 0$ is a function of $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Denote by $F_i = \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}, F_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$, etc.

a) Show that a necessary and sufficient condition for the metric to have constant curvature K is

(*)
$$\begin{cases} F_{ij} = 0, \ i \neq j; \\ F(F_{jj} + F_{ii}) = K + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (F_i)^2. \end{cases}$$

 \checkmark

b) Use (*) to prove that the metric g_{ij} has constant curvature K if and only if

$$F = \sum_{i=1}^{n} G_i(x_i)$$

where

$$G_i(x_i) = ax_i^2 + b_i x_i + c_i$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (4c_i a - b_i^2) = K$$

c) Put $a = \frac{a}{4}, b_i = 0, c_i = \frac{1}{n}$ and obtain the formula of Riemann

$$(**) \quad g_{ij} = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{\left(1 + \frac{K}{4} \sum x_i^2\right)^2}$$

for a metric g_{ij} of constant curvature K. If K < 0 the metric g_{ij} is defined in a ball of radius $\sqrt{\frac{4}{-K}}$.

d) If K > 0, the metric (**) is defined on all of \mathbb{R}^n . Show that such a metric on \mathbb{R}^n is not complete.

Proof. a) The metric and its inverse are

$$g_{ij} = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{F^2}, \quad g^{ij} = F^2 \delta_{ij}$$

Thus the Christoffel symbols

$$\Gamma_{ij}^{k} = \frac{1}{2}g^{kl} \left(\partial_{j}g_{il} + \partial_{i}g_{lj} - \partial_{l}g_{ij}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}F^{2} \left(\partial_{j}g_{ik} + \partial_{i}g_{kj} - \partial_{k}g_{ij}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}F^{2} \cdot \frac{-2}{F^{3}} \left(\delta_{ik}F_{j} + \delta_{kj}F_{i} - \delta_{ij}F_{k}\right)$$

$$= -\delta_{ik}f_{j} - \delta_{kj}f_{i} + \delta_{ij}f_{k}$$

where

$$f = \log F$$

Write down precisely,

$$\begin{cases} \Gamma_{ij}^k = 0, & \text{if } i \neq j, j \neq k, k \neq i \\ \Gamma_{ii}^j = f_j, \ \Gamma_{ij}^i = -f_j, & \text{if } i \neq j \\ \Gamma_{ii}^i = -f_i \end{cases}$$

Hence the Riemannian curvature $(i\neq j)$

$$\begin{split} R_{ijij} &= \langle -\nabla_i \nabla_j i + \nabla_j \nabla_i i, j \rangle \\ &= \langle -\nabla_i \left(\Gamma_{ij}^k k \right) + \nabla_j \left(\Gamma_{ii}^k k \right), j \rangle \\ &= \langle -\partial_i \Gamma_{ij}^k k - \Gamma_{ij}^k \Gamma_{ik}^l l + \partial_j \Gamma_{ii}^k k + \Gamma_{ii}^k \Gamma_{jk}^l l, j \rangle \\ &= -\partial_i \Gamma_{ij}^k g_{kj} + \partial_j \Gamma_{ii}^k g_{kj} - \Gamma_{ij}^k \Gamma_{ik}^l g_{lj} + \Gamma_{ii}^k \Gamma_{jk}^l g_{lj} \\ &= \frac{1}{F^2} \left(-\partial_i \Gamma_{ij}^j + \partial_j \Gamma_{ii}^j - \Gamma_{ij}^k \Gamma_{ik}^j + \Gamma_{ii}^k \Gamma_{jk}^j \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{F^2} \left[f_{ii} + f_{jj} + (f_j^2 - f_i^2) + \left(f_i^2 - f_j^2 - \sum_{k \neq i,j} f_k^2 \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{F^2} \left(f_{ii} + f_{jj} - \sum_k f_k^2 + f_i^2 + f_j^2 \right) \end{split}$$

Finally, the sectional curvature

$$K(i,j) = \frac{R_{ijij}}{\langle i,i \rangle \langle j,j \rangle - \langle i,j \rangle^2}$$

= $F^2(f_{ii} + f_{jj} - \sum_{k=1}^n f_k^2 + f_i^2 + f_j^2)$
= $FF_{ii} - F_i^2 + FF_{jj} - F_j^2 - \sum_k F_k^2 + F_i^2 + F_j^2$
= $F(F_{ii} + F_{jj}) - \sum_k F_k^2$

Now we prove a). The sufficiency is obvious. For the necessity, we need only to show

$$F_{ij} = 0, \quad \forall i \neq j$$

Indeed, since $K(i, j) = K = Const$,

$$F_{ii} = c, \quad \forall i$$

Thus

$$K = 2Fc - \sum_{k} F_{k}^{2}$$

Differentiating w.r.t. l twice, we obtain

$$0 = 2F_l - \sum_k 2F_k F_{kl}$$
$$\sum_{k \neq l} F_k F_{kl} = 0$$
$$\sum_{k \neq l} (F_{kl})^2 = \sum_{k \neq l} (F_{kl})^2 + F_k F_{kll} = 0$$
$$F_{kl} = 0 \quad \forall k \neq l$$

Remark For simplicity and type convenience, we use *i* for $\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$.

And there is no confusion between ∇_i and F_i . b) **Claim** From (*),

$$\begin{cases} F_{ij} = 0, & \forall i \neq j \\ F_{ii} = 2a = Const, & \forall i \end{cases}$$

We have

$$F = \sum_{i=1}^{n} G_i(x_i)$$

where

$$G_i(x_i) = ax_i^2 + b_i x_i + c$$

Indeed, $F_{ii} = 2a$ implies

$$F_i = 2ax_i + g(x_1, \cdots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \cdots, x_n)$$

while $F_{ij} = 0, \forall j \neq i$ implies

$$0 = F_{ij} = \partial_j g, \quad \forall j \neq i$$
$$g = b_i = Const$$
$$F_i = 2ax_i + b_i$$

Hence

$$F = ax_i^2 + b_i x_i + h_i(x_1, \cdots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \cdots, x_n)$$

Thus

$$ax_i^2 + b_ix_i + h_i = ax_j^2 + b_jx_j + h_j, \quad \forall j \neq i$$
$$h_i - (ax_j^2 + b_jx_j) = h_j - (ax_i^2 + b_ix_i)$$

Since the r.h.s. of the equality above doesn't have the x_j -term, we have

$$h_i = \sum_{j \neq i} (ax_j^2 + b_j x_j) + c$$

Hence the claim. Now,

$$K = F(F_{ii} + F_{jj}) - \sum_{k} (F_{k})^{2}$$

= $4a \sum_{k} (ax_{i}^{2} + b_{i}x_{i} + c_{i}) - \sum_{k} (2ax_{i} + b_{i})^{2}$
= $\sum_{k} (4c_{i}a - b_{i}^{2})$

c) Put $a = \frac{K}{4}, b_i = 0, c_i = \frac{1}{n}$, we obtain the formula of Riemann $g_{ij} = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{\left[\sum_i \left(\frac{K}{4}x_i^2 + \frac{1}{n}\right)\right]^2} = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{\left(1 + \frac{K}{4}\sum_i x_i^2\right)^2}$ If $K \neq 0$ are the labeled as

If K < 0, we should have

$$\sum_{i} x_i^2 \le \left(\sqrt{\frac{4}{-K}}\right)^2$$

i.e. g_{ij} are defined in a ball of radius $\sqrt{\frac{4}{-K}}$.

d) If K > 0, the metric (**) is defined on all of \mathbb{R}^n . We shall show (\mathbb{R}^n, g_{ij}) is not complete.

Indeed, for any $p = (x_1, \cdots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$d_{g}(0,p) \leq |0p|_{g}$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} \sqrt{\sum_{i} \frac{x_{i}^{2}}{\left[1 + \frac{K}{4} \sum_{k} (tx_{k})^{2}\right]^{2}}} dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} \frac{D}{1 + \frac{K}{4} Dt^{2}} dt \left(D = \sqrt{\sum_{i} x_{i}^{2}}\right)$$

$$= \frac{2}{K} \arctan \frac{\sqrt{K}}{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{2}{K} \cdot \frac{\pi}{2}$$

$$= \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{K}}$$

$$< \infty$$

Hence (\mathbb{R}^n, g_{ij}) is bounded, also, it is closed as a whole space, but we know \mathbb{R}^n is non-compact (Note that compactness is a topological property.). Thus, (\mathbb{R}^n, g_{ij}) is not complete by Hopt-Rinow Theorem.

8.4 Identity \mathbb{R}^4 with \mathbb{C}^2 by letting (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) correspond to (x_1+ix_2, x_3+ix_4) . Let

 $S^{3} = \{(z_{1}, z_{2}) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}; |z_{1}|^{2} + |z_{2}|^{2} = 1\}$

and let $h: S^3 \to S^3$ be given by

$$h(z_1, z_2) = \left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{q}} z_1, e^{\frac{2\pi i r}{q}} z_2\right), \quad (z_1, z_2) \in S^3$$

where q and r are relatively prime integers, q > 2.

- a) Show that $G = \{id, h, \dots, h^{q-1}\}$ is a group of isometries of the sphere S^3 , with the usual metric, which operates in a totally discontinuous manner. The manifold S^3/G is called a lens space.
- b) Consider S^3/G with metric induced by the projection $p: S^3 \to S^3/G$. Show that all the geodesics of S^3/G is closed but can have different lengths.

Proof. a) Claim 1 Each h^k is an isometry of S^3 .

Indeed, denote by

$$\alpha = \frac{2\pi}{q}, \qquad \beta = \frac{2\pi r}{q}$$

then

$$h^{k}(z_{1}, z_{2}) = \left(e^{ik\alpha}z_{1}, e^{ik\beta}z_{2}\right)$$
$$dh^{k}_{(z_{1}, z_{2})} = \left(e^{k\alpha i}dz_{1}, e^{k\beta i}dz_{2}\right)$$
For any $p \in S^{3}, u = (u_{1}, u_{2}), v = (v_{1}, v_{2}) \in T_{p}S^{3}$, where

$$u_j = u_{j1} + iu_{j2}, \quad v_j = v_{j1} + iv_{j2}, \quad j = 1, 2$$

We have

$$\langle dh^{k}(u)dh^{k}(v)\rangle_{h^{k}(p)}$$

$$= \left\langle \left(\begin{array}{c} e^{ik\alpha}u_{1} \\ e^{ik\beta}u_{2} \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{c} e^{ik\alpha}v_{1}, e^{ik\beta}v_{2} \end{array} \right) \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle \left(\begin{array}{c} u_{11}\cos k\alpha - u_{12}\sin k\alpha \\ +i\left(u_{11}\sin k\alpha + u_{12}\cos k\alpha\right) \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{c} v_{11}\cos k\alpha - v_{12}\sin k\alpha \\ +i\left(v_{11}\sin k\alpha + v_{12}\cos k\alpha\right) \end{array} \right) \right\rangle$$

$$= \left(u_{11}\cos k\alpha - u_{12}\sin k\alpha \right) \left(v_{11}\cos k\alpha - v_{12}\sin k\alpha \right) \\ + \left(u_{11}\sin k\alpha + u_{12}\cos k\alpha \right) \left(v_{11}\sin k\alpha + v_{12}\cos k\alpha \right) \\ + \left(u_{11}\cos k\alpha - u_{12}\sin k\alpha \right) \left(v_{11}\cos k\beta - v_{12}\sin k\beta \right) \\ + \left(u_{11}\sin k\beta + u_{12}\cos k\beta \right) \left(v_{11}\sin k\beta + v_{12}\cos k\beta \right)$$

$$= \left\langle (u_{1}, u_{2}), (v_{1}, v_{2}) \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle (u, v)_{p} \right\rangle$$

Claim 2 G operates on S^3 in a properly discontinuous manner.

Just note that for any $(z_1, z_2) \in S^3$,

$$h_k(z_1, z_2) = \left(e^{ik\alpha} z_1, e^{ik\beta} z_2\right), \quad k \in \{1, \cdots, q-1\}$$

are continuous, and $\neq (z_1, z_2)$, Hence

 $\exists U \ni x, \ s.t. \ h^k U \cap U \neq \emptyset, \forall k \in \{1, \cdots, q-1\}$ Indeed,

♦ $h^k(z_1, z_2) \neq (z_1, z_2)$ Since q and r are relatively prime,

$$\exists s, t, s.t. sq + tr = 1$$

if some $k \in \{1, \cdots, q-1\}$ satisfies

 $e^{ik\alpha} = 1$ or $e^{ik\beta} = 1$

then we have k = mq, a contradiction; or kr = mq for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, a contradiction again since

$$k = skq + tkr = skq + tmq = (sk + tm)q$$

 \blacklozenge The existence of such U.

Set $p = (z_1, z_2), q_k = h^k(p)$, then by Hausdorff property,

 $\exists U \ni p, V_k \ni q, s.t. U \cap V_k = \emptyset$

Since h is continuous, we may retract U such that

 $h^k(U) \subset V_k, \quad \forall k \in \{1, \cdots, q-1\}$

This U verifies.

b) Since G is a group of isometry, we can introduce the metric on S^3/G such that $p: S^3 \to S^3/G$ is a local isometry. Thus the geodesics are preserved. Now the geodesics on S^3 are all closed, the geodesics of S^3/G are close also, but they may have different length. Consider, for example,

$$\begin{cases} \gamma_1 = (e^{i\theta}, 0) \\ \gamma_2 = (0, e^{i\theta}) \end{cases} \quad \theta \in [0, 2\pi] \end{cases}$$

the geodesics on S^3 , but we have

$$\begin{cases} l(p(\gamma_1)) = \alpha\\ l(p(\gamma_2)) = \beta \end{cases}$$

when $\alpha \neq \beta$, i.e. $r \neq 1$, these two are different.

8.5 (Connections of conformal metrics) Let M be a differentiable manifold. Two Riemannian metrics g and \overline{g} on M are conformal if there exists a positive function $\mu : M \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\overline{g}(X, Y) = \mu g(X, Y)$, for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. Let ∇ and $\overline{\nabla}$ be the Riemannian connections of g and \overline{g} , respectively. Prove that

$$\overline{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + S(X, Y)$$

where

$$S(X,Y) = \frac{1}{2\mu} \{ (X\mu)Y + (Y\mu)X - g(X,Y)\nabla\mu \}$$

and $\nabla \mu$ is calculated in the metric g, that is,

$$X(\mu) = g(X, \nabla \mu)$$

Proof. By Koszul Formula,

$$\begin{split} \mu g(\overline{\nabla}_X Y, Z) &= \overline{g}(\nabla_X Y, Z) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} X \overline{g}(Y, Z) + Y \overline{g}(Z, X) - Z \overline{g}(X, Y) \\ - \overline{g}(X, [Y, Z]) + \overline{g}(Y, [Z, X]) + \overline{g}(Z, [X, Y]) \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (X \mu g(Y, Z) + Y g(Z, X) - Z g(X, Y)) \right\} \\ &+ \frac{\mu}{2} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} X g(Y, Z) + Y g(Z, X) - Z g(X, Y) \\ - g(X, [Y, Z]) + g(Y, [Z, X]) + g(Z, [X, Y]) \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ g\left((X \mu) Y + (Y \mu) X - g(X, Y) \nabla \mu, Z \right) \right\} + \mu g(\nabla_X Y, Z) \\ &= \mu g(S(X, Y) + \nabla_X Y, Z) \end{split}$$

9 Variations of Energy

9.1 Let M be a complete Riemannian manifold, and let $N \subset M$ be a closed submanifold of M. Let $p_0 \in M$, $p_0 \notin N$, and let $d(p_0, N)$ be the distance from p_0 to N. Show that there exists a point $q_0 \in N$ such that $d(p_0, q_0) = d(p_0, N)$ and that a minimizing geodesic which joins p_0 to q_0 is orthogonal to N at q_0 .

Proof. • Existence of such $q_0 \in N$.

Let $\{q_i\} \subset N$, s.t. $d(p_0, q_i) \to d(p_0, N)$, then $\{q_i\}$ is bounded, and by Hopf-Rinow theorem,

$$\exists \{j\} \subset \{i\}, \ s.t. \ q_j \to q_0$$

for some $q_0 \in M$. But N is closed, we have $q_0 \in N$ and $d(p_0, q_0) = d(p_0, N)$.

• Orthogonality.

Let $\gamma : [0, l] \to M$ be a minimizing geodesic joining p_0 to q_0 . We shall show $\gamma'(l) \perp N$, i.e. $\gamma'(0) \perp N$, $\forall v \in T_{q_0}N$.

Indeed, for $v \in T_{q_0}N$, let $\zeta : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \to M$ be a geodesic with data q_0, v (i.e. $\zeta(0) = q_0, \zeta'(0) = v$) and consider the variation $f : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times [0, l] \to M$ such that $f(s, 0) = p_0, f(s, l) = \zeta(s)$. If we denote by $V(s) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial s}|_{s=0}$, then from the formula for the first variation of energy,

$$0 = \frac{1}{2}E'(0)$$

= $-\int_0^l \langle V(t), \gamma''(t) \rangle dt - \langle V(0), \gamma'(0) \rangle + \langle V(l), \gamma'(l) \rangle$
= $\langle v, \gamma'(l) \rangle$

9.2 Introduce a complete Riemannian metric on \mathbb{R}^2 . Prove that

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \left(\inf_{x^2 + y^2 \ge r^2} K(x, y) \right) \le 0$$

where $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and K(x, y) is the Gauss curvature of the given metric at (x, y).

Proof. Argue by contradiction. Denote the complete metric on \mathbb{R}^2 by g and suppose

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \left(\inf_{x^2 + y^2 \ge r^2} K(x, y) \right) > 0$$

Then

$$\exists \begin{cases} c > 0 \\ r > 0 \end{cases} \quad s.t. \inf_{x^2 + y^2 \ge r^2} K(x, y) \ge c > 0$$

Hence by Bonnet-Myers Theorem,

$$(\{(x,y); x^2 + y^2 \ge r^2\}, g)$$

 $(\subset \mathbb{R}^2$, complete) is compact. Thus

$$\mathbb{R}^{2} = \left\{ (x, y); \ x^{2} + y^{2} \le r^{2} \right\} \cup \left\{ (x, y); \ x^{2} + y^{2} \ge r^{2} \right\}$$

as the union of two compact sets, is compact. A contradiction!

9.3 Prove the following generalization of the Theorem of Bonnet-Myers: Let M^n be a complete Riemannian manifold. Suppose that there exists constants a > 0 and $c \ge 0$ such that for all pairs of points in M^n and for all minimizing geodesics $\gamma(s)$, parametrized by arc length s, joining these points, we have

$$Ric(\gamma'(s)) \ge a + \frac{df}{ds}, \quad \text{along } \gamma$$

where f is a function of s, satisfying $|f(s)| \leq c \operatorname{along} \gamma$. Then M^n is compact.

Proof. We claim that

$$diam(M) \le \frac{\pi^2}{\sqrt{c^2 + \pi^2 a} - c} \triangleq L$$

Thus by Hopf-Rinow Theorem, M is compact. Indeed, if not, then

$$\neg \int p, q \in M$$

 $\begin{cases} p, q \in M \\ \text{minimizing geodesic } \gamma : [0, l] \to M \end{cases} \quad s.t. \ \gamma \text{ joing } p \text{ to } q \text{ with } l(\gamma) = l > L \end{cases}$

Now choose a parallel orthonormal field

$$e_1(s), \cdots, e_{n-1}(s), e_n(s) = \gamma'(s)$$

along γ , and consider the proper variations V_j defined by

$$V_j(s) = \sin \frac{\pi s}{l}, \quad j = 1, \cdots, n-1$$

Then from the formula for the second variation of energy,

$$\frac{1}{2}E''(V_j)(0) = \int_0^l \left\langle V_j, V_j'' + R(\gamma', V_j)\gamma' \right\rangle ds$$
$$= \int_0^l \sin^2 \frac{\pi s}{l} \left[\frac{\pi^2}{l^2} - K_{\gamma(s)}(\gamma', e_j) \right] ds$$

Summing j over $\{1, \dots, n-1\}$, we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} E''(V_j)(0) = \int_0^l \sin^2 \frac{\pi s}{l} \left[\frac{(n-1)\pi^2}{l} - (n-1)Ric(\gamma') \right] ds$$

$$\leq (n-1) \int_0^l \sin^2 \frac{\pi s}{l} \left[\frac{\pi^2}{l} - a - \frac{df}{ds} \right] ds$$

$$= (n-1) \left[\left(\frac{\pi^2}{l} - a \right) \frac{l}{2} + \int_0^l \sin \frac{2\pi s}{l} \cdot \frac{\pi}{l} \cdot f \, ds \right]$$

$$\leq (n-1) \left[\frac{\pi^2}{2l} - \frac{al}{2} + \frac{c\pi}{l} \cdot \frac{2l}{\pi} \right]$$

$$= -\frac{n-1}{2l} \left[al^2 - 2cl - \pi^2 \right]$$

$$< 0$$

As a result,

$$\exists j, s.t. E''(V_j)(0) < 0$$

which contradicts the fact that γ is minimizing.

Remark The theorem above has application to Relativity, see G.J.Galloway, "A generalization of Myer's Theorem and an application to relativistic cosmology", J.Diff. Geometry, 14(1979), 105-116

9.4 Let M be an orientable Riemannian manifold with positive (sectional) curvature and even dimension. Let γ be a closed geodesic in M, that is, γ is an immersion of the circle S^1 in M that is geodesic at all of its points. Prove that γ is homotopic to a closed curve whose length is strictly less than that of γ .

Proof. We have only to show \exists a variation field V along γ such that $E_V''(0) < 0$ 0(the second variation of energy concerning V).

Indeed, since M is orientable, if we denote by P_{γ} the parallel transport along γ , then

- P_{γ} is an isometry $\Rightarrow \det P_{\gamma} = \pm 1;$
- P_{γ} preserves orientation $\Rightarrow \det P_{\gamma} = 1;$ $P_{\gamma}(\gamma'(0)) = \gamma'(2\pi) = \gamma'(0) \Rightarrow P_{\gamma}$ leaves some $v(\perp \gamma'(0))$ invariant!

Thus, we may choose variation field $V(t) = P_{\gamma}(v)$, and by the formula for the second variation of energy,

$$\frac{1}{2}E_V''(0) = -\int_0^{2\pi} \langle V, V'' + R(\gamma', V)\gamma' \rangle dt$$

= $-|v|^2 |\cdot \gamma'(0)|^2 \cdot \int_0^{2\pi} K_{\gamma(t)}(v(t), \gamma'(t)) dt$
< 0

as asserted.

Remark Note that in this settting, in the formula for the second variation of energy, the last four terms offset! Just because we consider closed geodesic...

- 9.5 Let N_1 and N_2 be two close disjoint submanifolds of a compact Riemannian manifold M.
 - a) Show that the distance between N_1 and N_2 is assumed by a geodesic γ perpendicular to both N_1 and N_2 .
 - b) Show that, for any orthogonal variation h(t,s) of γ , with $h(0,s) \in N_1$ and $h(l,s) \in N_2$, we have the following expression for the formula for the second variation

$$\frac{1}{2}E''(0) = I_l(V,V) + \left\langle V(l), S_{\gamma'(l)}^{(2)}(V(l)) \right\rangle - \left\langle V(0), S_{\gamma'(0)}^{(1)}(V(0)) \right\rangle$$

where V is the variational vector and $S_{\gamma'}^{(i)}$ is the linear map associated to the second fundamental form of N_i in the direction γ' , i = 1, 2.

Proof. a) Let $\{p_i\} \subset N_1, \{q_i\} \subset N_2$ be such that $d(p_i, q_i) \to d(N_1, N_2)$. Since M is compact, we can find (common) $\{j\} \subset \{i\}, s.t.$

$$p_j \to p \in N_1, \quad q_j \to q \in N_2$$

then

$$d(p,q) = d(N_1, N_2)$$

Since d is continuous.

b) Now let $\gamma : [0, l] \to M$ be a minimizing geodesic joining p to q, then

$$\gamma'(0) \perp T_p N_1, \quad \gamma'(l) \perp T_q N_2$$

from the result of Exercise 1.

$$\frac{1}{2}E''(0) = I_l(V,V) - \left\langle \frac{D}{ds}\frac{\partial f}{\partial s}, \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \right\rangle (0,0) + \left\langle \frac{D}{ds}\frac{\partial f}{\partial s}, \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \right\rangle (0,a) \\
- \left\langle V(0), \frac{DV}{dt}(0) \right\rangle + \left\langle V(a), \frac{DV}{dt}(a) \right\rangle \\
= I_l(V,V) - \left\langle B\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial s}\right), \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \right\rangle (0,0) + \left\langle B\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial s}\right), \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \right\rangle (0,a) \\
(by a) and orthogonality of h) \\
= I_l(V,V) - \left\langle S_{\gamma'(0)}(V(0)), V(0) \right\rangle + \left\langle S_{\gamma'(l)}(V(l)), V(l) \right\rangle \right\rangle \Box$$

10 The Rauch Comparison Theorem

b)

10.3 Let M be a complete Riemannian manifold with non-positive sectional curvature. Prove that

$$|(d\exp_p)_v(w)| \ge |w|$$

for all $p \in M$, all $v \in T_pM$ and all $w \in T_v(T_pM)$.

Proof. Let $\tilde{M} = (T_p M = \mathbb{R}^n, \delta_{ij})$ and

•
$$\tilde{\gamma}(t) = tv, \ \gamma(t) = \exp_p(tv);$$

• $\tilde{J}(t) = tw$, $J(t) = (d \exp_p)_{tv}(tw)$.

Then by Rauch Comparison Theorem, using $K_M \leq 0$, that

$$|d(\exp_p)_v(w)| \ge |w|$$

10.5 (The Sturm Comparison Theorem). In this exercise we present a direct proof of Rauch's Theorem in dimension two, without using material from the present chapter. We will indicate a proof of the Theorem of Sturm mentioned in the Introduction to the chapter. Let

$$\begin{cases} f''(t) + K(t)f(t) = 0, \ f(0) = 0, \ t \in [0, l];\\ \tilde{f}''(t) + \tilde{K}(t)\tilde{f}(t) = 0, \ \tilde{f}(0) = 0, \ t \in [0, l]. \end{cases}$$

be two ordinary differential equations. Suppose that $\tilde{K}(t) \ge K(t)$ for $t \in [0, l]$, and that $f'(0) = \tilde{f}'(0) = 1$.

a) Show that for all $t \in [0, l]$,

(1)
$$0 = \int_0^t \{\tilde{f}(f'' + Kf) - f(\tilde{f}'' + \tilde{K}\tilde{f})\}dt = [\tilde{f}f' - f\tilde{f}']_0^t + \int_0^t (K - \tilde{K})f\tilde{f}dt$$

Conclude from this that the first zero of f does not occur before the first zero of \tilde{f} .

- b) Suppose that $\tilde{f}(t) > 0$ on (0, l]. Use (1) and the fact that f(t) > 0 on (0, l] to show that $f(t) \ge \tilde{f}(t)$, $t \in [0, l]$, and that the equality is verified for $t = t_1 \in (0, l]$ if and only if $K(t) = \tilde{K}(t)$, $t \in [0, t_1]$. Verify that this is the Theorem of Rauch in dimension two.
- *Proof.* a) First, integration by parts gives (1). Second, we prove that the first zero of f does not occur before the first zero of \tilde{f} . Indeed, if $t \in (0, l]$ is such that

$$\tilde{f}(t) > 0$$
 on $(0, t_0), \quad \tilde{f}(t_0) = 0$

and if $f(t_1) = 0$ for some $t_1 \in (0, t_0)$, then

$$\tilde{f}(t_1) > 0, \quad f'(t_1) < 0$$

contradicting (1) with t replaced by t_1 .

b) We know from (1) that

$$\tilde{f}f' - f\tilde{f}' \ge 0$$

i.e.

$$\frac{f'}{f} \ge \frac{\tilde{f}'}{\tilde{f}}$$
$$(\ln f)' \ge (\ln \tilde{f})'$$

Integrating from t_0 to t $(0 < t_0 < t \leq l)$, we obtain

$$\ln f(t) - \ln f(t_0) \ge \ln \tilde{f}(t) - \ln \tilde{f}(t_0)$$
$$\ln \frac{f(t)}{\tilde{f}(t)} \ge \ln \frac{f(t_0)}{\tilde{f}(t_0)}$$
$$\frac{f(t)}{\tilde{f}(t)} \ge \frac{f(t_0)}{\tilde{f}(t_0)}$$

But

$$\lim_{t_0 \to 0} \frac{f(t_0)}{\tilde{f}(t_0)} = \lim_{t_0 \to 0} \frac{f'(t_0)}{\tilde{f}'(t_0)} = 1$$

we've

$$f(t) \ge \tilde{f}(t)$$

as required.

And if the equality is valid for some $t = t_1 \in (0, l]$, then

$$f(t) = \tilde{f}(t), \quad \forall t \in [0, t_1]$$

(Otherwise, $\exists t^* \in (0, t_1)$ satisfies $f(t^*) > \tilde{f}(t^*)$, then

$$1 = \frac{f(t_1)}{\tilde{f}(t_1)} \ge \frac{f(t^*)}{\tilde{f}(t^*)} > 1$$

A contradiction!)

Thus

$$f'(t_1) = 0 = \tilde{f}'(t_1)$$

Hence by (1),

$$\begin{split} 0 &= \int_0^{t_1} (K - \tilde{K}) f^2 dt \\ K &= \tilde{K}, \quad \forall t \in [0, t_1] \\ (f > 0, \forall t \in (0, l] \text{ and continuity of the } K\text{'s}). \end{split}$$

11 The Morse Index Theorem

11.2 Prove the following inequality on real functions (Wirtinger's inequality). Let $f : [0, \pi] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a real function of class C^2 such that $f(0) = 0 = f(\pi)$. Then

$$\int_0^\pi f^2 dt \le \int_0^\pi (f')^2 dt$$

and equality occurs if and only if $f(t) = c \sin t$, where c is a constant.

Proof. Let $\gamma : [0, \pi] \to S^2$ be a normalized geodesic joining $\gamma(0) = p$ to $\gamma(\pi) = -p$, and let v be a parallel field along γ with $\langle v, \gamma' \rangle = 0$, |v| = 1. Set V = fv, then

$$0 \leq I_{\pi}(V, V) \text{ (Morse Index Theorem)} \\ = \int_{0}^{\pi} \{ |f'|^{2} - |f|^{2} \} dt \ (K_{S^{2}} = 1)$$

as required. And

equality occurs
$$\Leftrightarrow$$
 V is a Jacobi field. $(f(0) = 0 = f(\pi), n = 2)$
 \Leftrightarrow $f'' + f = 0 \ (K_{S^2} = 1)$
 \Leftrightarrow $f = c \sin t \ (f(0) = 0 = f(\pi))$

11.4 Let $a : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable function with $a(t) \ge 0, t \in \mathbb{R}$, and a(0) > 0. Prove that the solution to the differential equation

$$\frac{d^2\varphi}{dt^2} + a\varphi = 0$$

with initial conditions $\varphi(0) = 1, \varphi'(0) = 0$, has at least one positive zero and one negative zero.

Proof. We need only to prove φ has at least one positive zero, the other assertion being similar. Argue by contradiction, if

$$t \in (0,\infty) \Rightarrow \varphi(t) > 0$$

then

 $\varphi'' = -a\varphi \le 0$

i.e.

 φ' is non-increasing

But now, $a(0) > 0, \varphi(0) = 1$,

$$\varphi''(0) = -a(0)\varphi(0) < 0$$

$$\exists \varepsilon > 0, \ s.t. \ t \in (0, \varepsilon] \Rightarrow \varphi''(t) < 0 \Rightarrow \varphi'(t) < \varphi'(0) = 0$$

Thus

$$\begin{split} \varphi(T) &= \varphi(0) + \int_0^T \varphi'(t) dt \\ &= 1 + \int_0^\varepsilon \varphi'(t) dt + \int_\varepsilon^T \varphi'(t) dt \\ &< 1 + \int_\varepsilon^T \varphi'(t) dt \\ &\leq 1 + \varphi'(\varepsilon) (T - \varepsilon) \\ &< 0 \end{split}$$

if T is large enough. A contradiction!

11.5 Suppose M^n is complete Riemannian manifold with sectional curvature strictly positive and let $\gamma : (-\infty, \infty) \to M$ be a normalized geodesic in M. Show that there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the segment $\gamma([-t_0, t_0])$ has index greater or equal to n - 1.

Proof. Let Y be a parallel field along γ with $\langle Y, \gamma' \rangle = 0, |Y| = 1$. Set

$$\varphi_Y = \langle R(\gamma', Y)\gamma', Y \rangle$$

$$K(t) = \inf_{Y} \varphi_Y(t) > 0$$

and let $a: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable function such that

$$0 \leq a(t) \leq K(t), \quad 0 < a(0) < K(0), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$$

Let φ be the solution of the system

$$\begin{cases} \varphi'' + a\varphi = 0\\ \varphi(0) = 1, \varphi'(0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

34

and let $-t_1, t_2$ be the two zeros of this system. If we denote by $X = \varphi Y$, then

$$\begin{split} I_{[-t_1,t_2]}(X,X) \\ &= \int_{-t_1}^{t_2} \left\{ \langle X',X' \rangle - \langle R(\gamma',X)\gamma',X \rangle \right\} dt \\ &= -\int_{-t_1}^{t_2} \langle X'' + R(\gamma',X)\gamma',X \rangle \, dt \, (\varphi(-t_1) = 0 = \varphi(t_2)) \\ &= -\int_{-t_1}^{t_2} [\varphi''\varphi + \varphi^2\varphi_Y] dt \\ &\leq -\left(\int_{-t_1}^{-\varepsilon} + \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} + \int_{-\varepsilon}^{t_2}\right) [\varphi''\varphi + \varphi^2 K] dt \\ &< -\left(\int_{-t_1}^{-\varepsilon} + \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} + \int_{-\varepsilon}^{t_2}\right) [\varphi''\varphi + \varphi^2 a] \, (K(0) > a(0), K(t) \ge a(t)) \\ &= -\int_{-t_1}^{t_2} [\varphi'' + a\varphi] \varphi dt \\ &= 0 \end{split}$$

Thus if $t_0 = max\{t_1, t_2\}$, then

$$Index\left(\gamma|_{[-t_0,t_0]}\right) \ge Index\left(\gamma|_{[-t_1,t_2]}\right) \ge n-1 \ (t_0 = t_1 \ \text{or} \ t_2)$$

$$\gamma: (-\infty, \infty) \to M$$

which minimizes the arc length between any two of its points. Show that if the sectional curvature K of M is strictly positive, M does not have any lines. By an example show that the theorem is false if $K \ge 0$.

Proof. Of course, we take $n \ge 2$. By Exercise 5,

$$\exists t_0 \in \mathbb{R}, \ \exists X \in \mathfrak{V}(-t_0, t_0), \ s.t. \ I_{[-t_0, t_0]}(X, X) < 0$$

Then by the formula for the second variation of energy,

 $\gamma|_{[-t_0,t_0]}$ is not minimizing

Thus M does not have any rays.

If $K \ge 0$, the theorem is false, because any "line" is Euclidean flat space $(\mathbb{R}^n, \delta_{ij})$ is indeed a line!

Concluding Remarks—Lobatchevski Geometry

- 1.4
 - As a Lie group, endowed with left-invariant metric, the isometry of which...
- 2.8
 - The Christoffel symbols, a beautiful parallel field...
- 7.10
 - As a complete manifold, all the geodesics are calculated...
- 8.1 Some extensions...