



Plant chromosomes from end to end: telomeres, heterochromatin and centromeres

Jonathan C Lamb, Weichang Yu, Fangpu Han and James A Birchler

Recent evidence indicates that heterochromatin in plants is composed of heterogeneous sequences, which are usually composed of transposable elements or tandem repeat arrays. These arrays are associated with chromatin modifications that produce a closed configuration that limits transcription. Centromere sequences in plants are usually composed of tandem repeat arrays that are homogenized across the genome. Analysis of such arrays in closely related taxa suggests a rapid turnover of the repeat unit that is typical of a particular species. In addition, two lines of evidence for an epigenetic component of centromere specification have been reported, namely an example of a neocentromere formed over sequences without the typical repeat array and examples of centromere inactivation. Although the telomere repeat unit is quite prevalent in the plant kingdom, unusual repeats have been found in some families. Recently, it was demonstrated that the introduction of telomere sequences into plants cells causes truncation of the chromosomes, and that this technique can be used to produce artificial chromosome platforms.

Addresses

Division of Biological Sciences, Tucker Hall, University of Missouri, Columbia, Missouri 65211, USA

Corresponding author: Birchler, James A (BirchlerJ@Missouri.edu)

Current Opinion in Plant Biology 2007, 10:116-122

This review comes from a themed issue on Genome studies and molecular genetics Edited by Stefan Jansson and Edward S Buckler

Available online 8th February 2007

1369-5266/\$ - see front matter © 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

DOI 10.1016/j.pbi.2007.01.008

Introduction

The genes of plants comprise very little of their chromosomal content, with most sequences being transposable elements and simple repetitive arrays. These components contribute to the chromosomal integrity and function in the form of heterochromatin, centromeres and telomeres. In this review, we summarize the latest findings, made over the past two years, about these three features of plant chromosomes.

Heterochromatin

Traditionally, heterochromatin was thought to contain few if any genes and to have an unknown function. Despite being the major portion of many plant chromosomes, heterochromatin remained the least well characterized and hence least understood portion of the chromosome. Heterochromatin, as revealed by deep staining, is seen at the telomeres and in pericentromeric regions [1]. Some heterochromatin has been associated with centromere or neocentromere function, whereas other regions appear not to have such activities [2]. In plants, heterochromatin is also located at the nucleolar organizers, at knobs and in B chromosomes, for example, those of maize [3–6]. Recent sequence and cytogenetic analyses indicate that plant heterochromatin can have very different origins, composition and dynamics [7]. Heterochromatin plays a key role in repressing transposable elements and as a structural component of chromosomes.

Eleven sequenced bacterial artificial chromosomes (BACs) were annotated and localized using fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) to tomato pachytene chromosomes, thereby providing global insights into the compositional differences between euchromatin and pericentromeric heterochromatin in this model dicot species [8]. In the euchromatic region, the BACs were gene-rich and had few retrotransposons or other repetitive elements. By contrast, the heterochromatic regions largely consisted of retrotransposons. On the basis of these findings, Wang et al. [8] estimated that 90% of the genes in tomato are located in the 25% of the genome that is euchromatic. A sequence comparison of pericentromeric heterochromatin among Arabidopsis relatives showed that gene order was conserved in this region but that the distance between genes varied because of the insertion of repetitive elements and pseudo-genes [9].

The maize genome might be more typical of the many flowering plants that have larger genomes. Like tomato genes, maize genes are rare in the pericentromeric heterochromatin [10]. In maize, however, retrotransposons are abundant not only near the centromere but all along the chromosome arms [11,12]. Even in the euchromatin, homologous regions are distinguished among varieties by different repetitive elements and pseudo-genes [13]. This variation is similar to that seen in the pericentomeric regions of different Arabidopsis species [9]. In maize and tomato, recent expansion of specific retroelement families is responsible for much of the new DNA in heterochromatic regions. The retrotransposons that compose the heterochromatin of tomato are not abundant in the closely related potato species [8]. Most abundant maize retroelement families are present in low copy numbers in or absent from the related genus Tripsacum [12,14]. In maize, unlike tomato, certain retroelement

families have accumulated in gene-rich regions, whereas others have accumulated in the pericentromere [15]. The appearance of retroelements with altered targeting preference might help to explain why some lineages experience expansion of repetitive elements in all intergenic regions whereas others, such as tomato and rice, only have large numbers of repetitive elements in the pericentromeric heterochromatin.

In many locations in the genome, heterochromatin is formed over regions where a single DNA element is present in long arrays. Such arrays are present at centromeres and telomeres, chromosome locations with known functions. In telomeres, the mechanism that generates repeats is known. By contrast, for arrays found at locations such as the subtelomeric regions and various interstitial sites, the roles of the repeat arrays are unclear. In many cases, the individual repeat units are approximately the size appropriate for association with one or two nucleosomes, suggesting that their size has been optimized to create a regular arrangement and to facilitate higher-order packaging.

Retroelements and satellites might both use the RNA interference (RNAi) machinery to form heterochromatin [16–18]. RNAi is the basis of post-transcriptional gene silencing, but it can also lead to heritable transcriptional silencing that is mediated by DNA methylation and histone modification. Plant heterochromatin is less well defined, but studies of histone modification have been performed. The heterochromatic chromocenters of Arabidopsis are particularly enriched in H3K9me1,2, H3K27me1,2 and H4K20me1 [19-21]. Clustering of H3K9me2 is typical of, but not essential for, heterochromatin formation in Arabidopsis [22]. By contrast, H3K9me2 in maize is abundant in the same chromosomal regions as genes [23]. In other flowering plants that have large genomes, H3K9me2 is also abundant throughout the genome [24]. This arrangement might reflect the abundance of retrotransposons in intergenic regions in these species. H3K27me2 consistently marked maize heterochromatin and H3K4me2 was abundant in complementary locations to H3K27me2 [23]. Thus, histone modifications, and probably chromatin state, can be influenced by the underlying DNA. The formation of heterochromatin also requires the heterochromatin protein HP1 or a homologue. This protein binds specifically to methvlated H3K9 in Schizosaccharomyces pombe and Drosophila [25]. Although HP1 is usually associated with heterochromatin regions, it has also been shown to associate with euchromatin. Different domains control the localization and mobility of Like Heterochromatin Protein 1 (LHP) reported in Arabidopsis nuclei [26[•]].

Centromeres

The presence of a variant of the H3 histone protein, CenH3, is the defining feature of chromatin that composes the kinetochore-forming domain. The centromere region maintains the sister chromatid attachment until metaphase. During metaphase, however, the centromere is separated into at least two distinct parts: one enriched for CenH3 and the other associated with cohesins. Characterizing the chromatin structure of these centromere regions could provide clues as to how they are formed and function.

Recently, direct evidence demonstrating the insufficiency of repeats for plant centromere determination has been uncovered, and the evidence closely parallels observations made in other model systems. First, an example of a new centromere that is devoid of any centromeric elements was reported [27^{••}]. A complementary observation was the efficient inactivation of a maize centromere [28^{••}]. Thus, the primary DNA sequence alone does not determine centromere identity. This suggests that epigenetic factors, such as chromatin structure, play an important role in centromere specification.

Yan and coworkers [29^{••}] took advantage of the small size of the centromeric repeat tract and of the complete sequence of rice centromere 8 to determine the chromatin state at a centromere without a large repeat array. They used chromatin immuno-precipitation with antibodies against various histone modifications, followed by PCR (ChIP-PCR) with primers specific to the centromere regions, to determine the presence of several histone modifications at many positions within the region of recombination repression that surrounds the centromere. This region contained relatively few centromeric repeats and, near the edges of the region, a ratio of retrotransposons similar to that of the remainder of the genome. It also contained many expressed genes. Here, as in other genomic regions, active genes were marked with H3K4me2 and H4-acetylation, whereas chromatin was mostly associated with H3K9me2. Yan et al. [29**] concluded that gross histone modifications do not determine centromere identity, and implied that previous observations of distinct patterns of histone modifications at centromeres [30,31] merely reflect the unusual density of DNA repeats found there.

In plants, the region of sister chromatid cohesion is marked by phosphorylation of the H3 histone [32]. In maize, H3 phosphorylation first appears on the CenH3 protein and then on H3 proteins in the flanking region, suggesting that phosphorylation is directed by CenH3 position to the regions that surround this protein [33^{••}]. In *Luzula luzuloides*, centromere activity and the CenH3 protein [34], and phosphorylation of H3 [35], are distributed along the whole chromosome instead of at a single location. Even in this somewhat unusual case, the pattern is consistent with CenH3-directed phosphorylation of H3. Examining the intensity of immuno-labeled *Arabidopsis* CenH3 at different points in the cell cycle suggests that CenH3 is loaded onto the chromatin primarily during G_2 [36^{••}]. CenH3 quantity was also examined in endoreduplicated cells, where DNA replication has occurred without subsequent cell division. The amount of CenH3 did not increase in proportion to the nuclear DNA. Assuming that centromeric DNA was not under-replicated compared to the rest of the genome, this result shows that replication-coupled CenH3 deposition either does not occur or is insufficient to maintain CenH3 levels in these cells [36^{••}].

Centromere repeat variation and homogenization

Although centromeric repeats are neither sufficient nor necessary for centromere function, they are present exclusively at and around the kinetochore. This suggests a role, or at least a preference, for repeats in centromere function [37]. One likely possibility is that the repeat arrays are optimal for formation of the correct chromatin conformation at the centromere.

Many recent studies have identified centromere repeats in different plant species, allowing repeats among related taxa to be compared and providing new insights into the mechanisms of centromere repeat evolution. Many, but not all, of the relatives of rice share the rice centromeric satellite CentO [38[•]]. Using chromatin immunoprecipitation, three additional repeats were identified at the kinetochores of Oryza rhizomatis and Oryza brachyantha, two relatives without CentO. Two of these repeats had an 80bp region that has homology to CentO and to the centromere repeats of maize and millet [38[•]]. The third repeat made up most of the O. brachyantha centromere and was totally novel. In O. brachyantha, several centromeres contained a 366-bp repeat, TrsC, that is also present in the subtelomeric region of O. brachyantha and other relatives [39[•]]. These patterns of centromeric elements demonstrate that repeats can change rapidly, by alterations to existing elements, by development of new elements or by recruitment from other genomic regions.

Among *Arabidopsis*' relatives, there is also variation in centromeric elements. Different blocks of repeats are present at centromeres within a single species and can even vary among homologous centromeres [40,41[•]]. Yet another type of DNA element was found at tomato centromeres: a tri-nucleotide repeat [42].

Analysis of the centromere 8 region of rice showed a high frequency of unequal recombination among the long terminal repeats (LTRs) of centromere retroelements in the CenH3-binding domain [43[•]]. Comparisons of this region between *indica* and *japonica* rice identified large duplications and rearranged blocks of centromere repeats [43[•]]. Centromeric retroelement copy element number

was increased primarily by segmental duplications instead of transposition [44[•]]. Thus, both within and among centromeres, duplications or exchanges of large blocks of sequence are mechanisms of sequence generation and variation.

These studies illustrate the rapid evolution of centromere elements. Such elements can be altered significantly across the genomes of closely related species, which are separated by relatively short evolutionary time frames. These observations suggest a genomic homogenization mechanism of unknown basis.

Telomeres

Telomeres are the end structures of linear chromosomes. Because the very end of the chromosome cannot be fully replicated by the DNA polymerase complex, alternative methods of DNA extension are employed to prevent the chromosome from shortening. The most common mechanism is extension by an enzyme complex that contains a short RNA template and a reverse transcriptase. Successive rounds of extension using the RNA template produces tracts of simple G-rich tandem repeats. For example, the $C_{1-3}(A/T)G_{1-3}$ motif in yeast, TTAGG in insects, TTTTAGGG in Chlamydomonas, TTGGGG in Tetrahymena, TTTTGGGGG in hypotrichs, TTAGGG in vertebrates, and TTTAGGG in plants are the dominant forms of telomeres [45]. Exceptions are the telomeres found in Drosophila, which consist of two types of specific retroelements [46], and those of some plant species such as Allium cepa [47] whose structure is unknown.

The diversity of telomeres in the monocots plant order Asparagales provides an example of evolution in the telomere structure. The Asparagales have three types of telomeres as determined by their primary structure, the *Arabidopsis*-type, the 'human-type' and an unknown type in which the minisatellite telomeric repeat was lost [45,48,49°]. The differentiation of the 'human-type' telomere repeat and its loss in the *Allium* species marked two switch-points in telomere evolution [45]. Analysis of telomerase sequence variation across the first switch point, corresponding to the emergence of the human telomeric motif, and the loss of telomerase activity in *Allium* species at the second switch point suggests coevolution of telomere primary structure with the telomerase that synthesizes it [49°].

Despite exceptions, the sequences that compose telomeres are conserved. The proteins found at chromosome ends are also remarkably conserved. Telomere proteins have been identified in plants that have functions similar to those of their mammalian, yeast and fly counterparts [50–53]. Recently identified plant proteins include Ataxia Telangiectasia Mutated (ATM) and ATM and Rad3related (ATR), proteins that repair double strand breaks (DSB). ATM also stabilizes short telomeres, and ATR also regulates telomere length [50]. The single-strand telomere-repeat-binding proteins, *Protection of telomeres1* (*Pot1*) and *Pot2* [51], which each produce several different splice variants [52], are further examples of plant telomere proteins whose function is conserved in other organisms. Additionally, *Arabidopsis* cells that are deficient for the telomerase catalytic subunit, Tert, can survive in culture, demonstrating that the alternative telomere maintenance mechanisms used by telomerase-deficient cancer cells are also present in plants [54].

Telomere proteins associate with the telomere repeat array to form a specialized chromatin structure at the ends of chromosomes that regulates the length of the telomere, primarily by controlling telomerase activity and accessibility at individual telomeres. The length of the telomere tract is under genetic control and varies widely among species. *Arabidopsis* telomeres are typically 2–5 kb long [55], whereas in tobacco, telomeres are 60–160 kb [56]. In a recent study, telomere length was found to be intermediate in hybrids between *Arabidopsis* ecotypes that have different telomere lengths [57], demonstrating that telomere length is under genetic control. Long-lived species of pine had longer telomeres than shorter-lived relatives, a fact interpreted by the authors as suggesting that telomere length might be related to lifespan [58].

Telomere length might also be regulated by the stability of the telomere complex. Recently, a genome-wide screen for suppressors of the yeast *cdc13-1* mutant, which has a defective telomere-capping protein, identified a KEOPS (Kinase putative Endonuclease and Other Proteins of Small size) complex as a promoter of telomere uncapping [59]. The telomere cap proteins (Cdc13 and Stn1) are dispensable if nuclease activities at the uncapped telomeres are attenuated, demonstrating that alternative strategies (e.g. uncapped telomeres) can be adopted at the telomeres to promote genome stability [60].

Another role for the telomere complex is to prevent the chromosome end from being treated as a DSB by the cellular DNA repair machinery. For example, the nonhomologous end-joining (NHEJ) components of the DSB repair pathway were also found in telomeres [61^{••}]. On the other hand, some proteins that were traditionally considered to be telomeric proteins, such as TELO-MERIC REPEAT BINDING FACTOR2 (TRF2), have been found in DSBs as soon as 2 s after DNA damage by irradiation [62]. In fact, in telomere-dysfunction mutants, the telomeric ends of linear chromosomes are treated as DSBs by the NHEJ machinery once they are no longer protected. For example, atm atr double mutants of Arabidopsis have a DNA-damage response that results in telomere fusion and the formation of chromosome bridges during the anaphase of mitosis [50]. The pot1 mutation in mouse elicited a DNA-damage response and genomic instability, and triggered both NHEJ and homologous recombination (HR) at telomeres [63].

Chromosome healing at DSB sites is another interesting event that results from the interaction between DNA repair and telomere synthesis. Chromosome healing was first described by McClintock [64] who found that the chromosome breakage-fusion-bridge cycle of dicentric chromosomes stopped in the embryos but continued in the endosperms of maize. Unlike repair by HR and NHEJ, DSB repair by chromosome healing through *de novo* telomere addition usually causes large deletions of chromosome fragments. Thus, chromosome healing is a rare event in the genome maintenance of most organisms, the exceptions being *Paramecium*, *Tetrahymena* and *Ascaris*, in which chromosome healing is a normal part of development [65].

A practical application of telomere biology is telomeremediated chromosome truncation technology for chromosome engineering and the production of artificial chromosomes. When arrays of telomere repeats are transformed into mammalian cells, they can cause chromosomal truncation at the site of insertion [66]. Telomere truncation has also recently been demonstrated in plants [67^{••}]. Transformation constructs that have telomere arrays at only one end were shown to cleave maize chromosomes, most probably during integration [67^{••}]. The presence of telomeric sequences at the transgene insertion DSB [67••] was postulated to increase greatly the efficiency of *de novo* telomere seeding. The telomeric sequences might recruit telomere-binding proteins and the telomerase to the site, thus switching the NHEJ pathway to one of de novo chromosome healing [67^{••}]. This technology has been used in our laboratory to generate minichromosomes carrying site-specific recombination cassettes that will possess the desired characteristics for an artificial chromosome platform (W Yu, F Han, Z Gao, J Vega, J Birchler, unpublished).

Conclusions

The organization of repetitive elements in the genomes of plants causes difficulties in analysis because multiple copies of any one sequence are present and because of problems with establishing the DNA sequence of such arrays. However, these features determine the overall structure of the chromosome and ensure its transmission through cell divisions and the life cycle. As such, a thorough understanding of heterochromatin, centromeres and telomeres is crucial to our knowledge of transmission of the genome and its manipulation for biotechnology.

Acknowledgements

Work in our laboratory on these topics has been supported by National Science Foundation grants, DBI 0421671 and DBI 0423898, and by the Monsanto–MU Proteomics Grants Program.

References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- •• of outstanding interest
- Topp CN, Dawe RK: Reinterpreting pericentromeric 1. heterochromatin. Curr Opin Plant Biol 2006, 9:647-653.
- Richards EJ, Dawe RK: Plant centromeres: structure and 2. control. Curr Opin Plant Biol 1998, 1:130-135.
- The Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Washington University Genome Sequencing Center, and PE Biosystems Arabidopsis 3. Sequencing Consortium: The complete sequence of a heterochromatic island from a higher eukaryote. Cell 2000, 100:377-386.
- Fransz P, ten Hoopen R, Tessadori F: Composition and 4. formation of heterochromatin in Arabidopsis thaliana. Chromosome Res 2006. 14:71-82.
- Alfenito MR, Birchler JA: Molecular characterization of a maize 5. B chromosome centric sequence. Genetics 1993, 135:589-597.
- Bennetzen JL: The many hues of plant heterochromatin. 6. Genome Biol 2000, 1: RÉVIEWS107
- Fransz PF, Armstrong S, de Jong JH, Parnell LD, van Drunen C, 7. Dean C, Zabel P, Bisseling T, Jones GH: Integrated cytogenetic map of chromosome arm 4S of A. thaliana: structural organization of heterochromatic knob and centromere region. Cell 2000, 100:367-376.
- Wang Y, Tang X, Cheng Z, Mueller L, Giovannoni J, Tanksley SD: 8. Euchromatin and pericentromeric heterochromatin: comparative composition in the tomato genome. Genetics 2006, 172:2529-2540.
- 9. Hall AE, Kettler GC, Preuss D: Dynamic evolution at pericentromeres. Genome Res 2006, 16:355-364.
- Anderson LK, Lai A, Stack SM, Rizzon C, Gaut BS: Uneven 10. distribution of expressed sequence tag loci on maize pachytene chromosomes. Genome Res 2006, 16:115-122.
- 11. SanMiguel P, Gaut BS, Tikhonov A, Nakajima Y, Bennetzen JL: The paleontology of intergene retrotransposons of maize. Nat Genet 1998, **20**:43-45.
- 12. Lamb JC, Birchler JA: Retroelement genome painting: cytological visualization of retroelement expansions in the genera Zea and Tripsacum. Genetics 2006, 173:1007-1021.
- 13. Fu H, Dooner HK: Intraspecific violation of genetic colinearity and its implications in maize. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2002, 99:9573-9578
- 14. Meyers BC, Tingey SV, Morgante M: Abundance, distribution, and transcriptional activity of repetitive elements in the maize genome. Genome Res 2001, 11:1660-1676.
- 15. Lamb JC, Meyer JM, Corcoran B, Kato A, Han F, Birchler JA: Distinct chromosomal distributions of highly repetitive sequences in maize. Chromosome Res 2007, in press.
- 16. Lippman Z, Gendrel AV, Black M, Vaughn MW, Dedhia N, McCombie WR, Lavine K, Mittal V, May B, Kasschau KD et al.: Role of transposable elements in heterochromatin and epigenetic control. Nature 2004, 430:471-476.
- 17. Lippman Z, May B, Yordan C, Singer T, Martienssen R: Distinct mechanisms determine transposon inheritance and methylation via small interfering RNA and histone modification. PLoS Biol 2003, 1:E67.
- 18. Martienssen RA: Maintenance of heterochromatin by RNA interference of tandem repeats. Nat Genet 2003, 35:213-214.
- Soppe WJ, Jasencakova Z, Houben A, Kakutani T, Meister A, 19. Huang MS, Jacobsen SE, Schubert I, Fransz PF: DNA methylation controls histone H3 lysine 9 methylation and heterochromatin assembly in Arabidopsis. EMBO J 2002, 21:6549-6559.

- 20. Lindroth AM, Shultis D, Jasencakova Z, Fuchs J, Johnson L Schubert D, Patnaik D, Pradhan S, Goodrich J, Schubert I et al.: Dual histone H3 methylation marks at lysines 9 and 27 required for interaction with CHROMOMETHYLASE3. EMBO J 2004, 23:4286-4296
- 21. Naumann K, Fischer A, Hofmann I, Krauss V, Phalke S, Irmler K, Hause G, Aurich AC, Dorn R, Jenuwein T et al.: Pivotal role of AtSUVH2 in heterochromatic histone methylation and gene silencing in Arabidopsis. EMBO J 2005, 24:1418-1429.
- 22. Jasencakova Z, Soppe WJ, Meister A, Gernand D, Turner BM, Schubert I: Histone modifications in Arabidopsis - high methylation of H3 lysine 9 is dispensable for constitutive heterochromatin. Plant J 2003, 33:471-480.
- 23. Shi J, Dawe K: Partitioning of the maize epigenome by the number of methyl groups on histone H3 lysines 9 and 27. Genetics 2006, 173:1571-1583.
- 24. Houben A, Demidov D, Gernand D, Meister A, Leach CR, Schubert I: Methylation of histone H3 in euchromatin of plant chromosomes depends on basic nuclear DNA content. Plant J 2003, 33:967-973.
- 25. Bannister AJ, Zegerman P, Partridge JF, Miska EA, Thomas JO, Allshire RC, Kouzarides T: Selective recognition of methylated lysine 9 on histone H3 by the HP1 chromo domain. Nature 2001, 410:120-124.
- 26. Zemach A, Li Y, Ben-Meir H, Oliva M, Mosguna A, Kiss V, Avivi Y, Ohad N, Grafi G: Different domains control the localization and mobility of LIKE HETEROCHROMATIN PROTEIN1 in Arabidopsis nuclei. Plant Cell 2006, 18:133-145.

This study reveals LHP1 recruitment to chromatin through interaction with methyl K9. The authors discover that LHP1 controls different nuclear processes through transient binding to its nuclear sites.

- Nasuda S, Hudakova S, Schubert I, Houben A, Endo TR: 27. ••
- Stable barley chromosomes without centromeric repeats. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2005, **102**:9842-9847.

Chromosome rearrangement led to the formation of isochromosomes with centromeres that lacked any of the repeats that are typical of wheat or barley centromeres. The appearance of a centromere at a site that previously had no centromeric activity (i.e. neocentromere formation) complements the finding of centromere inactivation and emphasizes the epigenetic nature of centromere determination in plants.

28. Han F, Lamb JC, Birchler JA: High frequency of centromere inactivation resulting in stable dicentric chromosomes of maize. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2006, 103:3238-3243. ••

This article reports centromere inactivation in plants. In a dispensable chromosome with an inverted duplication, dicentric chromosomes were produced when recombination occurred in the fold-back region. In this system, centromere inactivation was frequent, producing functionally monocentric chromosomes with two centromeric regions.

Yan H, Jin W, Nagaki K, Tian S, Ouyang S, Buell CR, Talbert PB, 29. Henikoff S, Jiang J: Transcription and histone modifications in the recombination-free region spanning a rice centromere. Plant Cell 2005, 17:3227-3238.

ChIP-PCR was used to reveal the histone modifications in the region of repressed recombination that defines the centromere of rice chromosome 8. The overall pattern of modifications was similar to that seen in other genomic regions. This finding is inconsistent with a histone code that defines the centomere region. Instead, the authors argue that CenH3 itself directs formation of the chromatin at centromeres, leading to its distinctive features.

- 30. Blower MD, Sullivan BA, Karpen GH: Conserved organization of centromeric chromatin in flies and humans. Dev Cell 2002, **2**:319-330.
- 31. Sullivan BA, Karpen GH: Centromeric chromatin exhibits a histone modification pattern that is distinct from both euchromatin and heterochromatin. Nat Struct Mol Biol 2004, 11:1076-1083.
- 32. Shibata F, Murata M: Differential localization of the centromere-specific proteins in the major centromeric satellite of Arabidopsis thaliana. J Cell Sci 2004, 117:2963-2970.
- 33. Zhang X, Li X, Marshall JB, Zhong CX, Dawe RK: Phosphoserines on maize CENTROMERIC HISTONE H3 and histone H3

demarcate the centromere and pericentromere during chromosome segregation. Plant Cell 2005, 17:572-583. The region of sister chromatid cohesion in plants is marked at metaphase by phosphorylation of H3 histone proteins. In this study, CenH3 is also found to be phosphorylated. Phosphorylation of the centromere region

34. Nagaki K, Murata M: Characterization of CENH3 and centromere-associated DNA sequences in sugarcane. Chromosome Res 2005, 13:195-203.

begins at the CenH3 core and spreads outward.

- 35. Gernand D, Demidov D, Houben A: The temporal and spatial pattern of histone H3 phosphorylation at serine 28 and serine 10 is similar in plants but differs between mono- and polycentric chromosomes. Cytogenet Genome Res 2003, 101:172-176
- Lermontova I, Schubert V, Fuchs J, Klatte S, Macas J, Schubert I: Loading of *Arabidopsis* centromeric histone CENH3 occurs 36
- mainly during G₂ and requires the presence of the histone fold domain. *Plant Cell* 2006, **18**:2443-2451. This study provides information about the loading mechanism for CenH3.

CenH3 was found to be incorporated primarily at G2. In endoreduplicated cells, the amount of CenH3 did not correspond to the chromosome copy number, suggesting that the incorporation of CenH3 at S phase is insufficient to maintain normal levels of CenH3.

- 37. Lamb JC, Theuri J, Birchler JA: What's in a centromere? Genome Biol 2004, 5:239.
- 38.
- Lee HR, Zhang W, Langdon T, Jin W, Yan H, Cheng Z, Jiang J: Chromatin immunoprecipitation cloning reveals rapid evolutionary patterns of centromeric DNA in Oryza species. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2005, 102:11793-11798.

Using ChIP, the authors identified several Oryza centromere repeats. Two families contain an 80-bp region that is shared with elements from maize and pearl millet. One family was entirely novel. This study highlights the rapidity with which centromere elements can evolve.

- 39. Bao W, Zhang W, Yang Q, Zhang Y, Han B, Gu M, Xue Y, Cheng Z:
- Diversity of centromeric repeats in two closely related wild rice species, Oryza officinalis and Oryza rhizomatis. Mol Genet Genomics 2006, 275:421-430.

Several satellite families are found to be present at the centromeres of two relatives of rice. Each centromere contains one or more families and, in Oryza rhizomatis, a subtelomeric repeat is present at some centromeres

- Kawabe A, Nasuda S: Polymorphic chromosomal specificity of centromere satellite families in *Arabidopsis halleri* ssp. gemmifera. Genetica 2006, 126:335-342.
- 41. Kawabe A, Nasuda S: Structure and genomic organization of centromeric repeats in Arabidopsis species. Mol Genet Genomics 2005, 272:593-602

Several families of related centromeric elements were defined in different Arabidopsis relatives

- Yang TJ, Lee S, Chang SB, Yu Y, de Jong H, Wing RA: In-depth sequence analysis of the tomato chromosome 12 centromeric 42. region: identification of a large CAA block and characterization of pericentromere retrotranposons. Chromosoma 2005, 114:103-117.
- 43. Ma J, Bennetzen JL: Recombination, rearrangement,
- reshuffling, and divergence in a centromeric region of rice. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2006, 103:383-388.

Centromeric core regions were found to be hotspots of unequal recombination involving retrotransposon LTRs. Comparing centromere sequences between japonica and indica rice revealed many additional rearrangements, including large duplications and alterations to the order and composition of satellite arrays.

44. Ma J, Jackson SA: Retrotransposon accumulation and satellite amplification mediated by segmental duplication

facilitate centromere expansion in rice. Genome Res 2006, 16:251-259

Although the CRR retrotransposon is actively transposing in centromeres of rice, the high copy number of this retrotransposon is due to segmental duplications not transposition. This finding is consistent with the previous study showing that centromere sequences are rearranged in blocks.

45. Fajkus J, Sykorova E, Leitch AR: Telomeres in evolution and evolution of telomeres. Chromosome Res 2005, 13:469-479.

- 46. Pardue ML, DeBaryshe PG: Retrotransposons provide an evolutionarily robust non-telomerase mechanism to maintain telomeres. Annu Rev Genet 2003, 37:485-511.
- 47. Pich U, Schubert I: Terminal heterochromatin and alternative telomeric sequences in Allium cepa. Chromosome Res 1998, 6:315-321.
- 48. Svkorova E. Faikus J. Meznikova M. Lim KY. Neplechova K. Blattner FR, Chase MW, Leitch AR: Minisatellite telomeres occur in the family Alliaceae but are lost in Allium. Am J Bot 2006, 93:814-823.
- 49. Sykorova E, Leitch AR, Fajkus J: Asparagales telomerases which synthesize the human type of telomeres. Plant Mol Biol 2006, 60:633-646.

This paper and [47,48] uncover two events during telomere evolution in Asparagales: the emergence of human-type telomeres in the family of Alliaceae and the loss of a minisatellite telomere repeat in Allium. Sykorova et al. propose that the evolution of telomeric DNA sequences and that of telomerases are mechanisms for mutation and adaptation.

- 50. Vespa L, Couvillion M, Spangler E, Shippen DE: ATM and ATR make distinct contributions to chromosome end protection and the maintenance of telomeric DNA in Arabidopsis. Genes Dev 2005, 19:2111-2115.
- 51. Shakirov EV, Surovtseva YV, Osbun N, Shippen DE: The Arabidopsis Pot1 and Pot2 proteins function in telomere length homeostasis and chromosome end protection. Mol Cell Biol 2005, 25:7725-7733.
- 52. Tani A, Murata M: Alternative splicing of Pot1 (Protection of telomere)-like genes in Arabidopsis thaliana. Genes Genet Syst 2005. 80:41-48.
- 53. McKnight TD, Shippen DE: Plant telomere biology. Plant Cell 2004, 16:794-803.
- Watson JM, Bulankova P, Riha K, Shippen DE, Vyskot B: Telomerase-independent cell survival in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant J 2005, 43:662-674.
- 55. Shakirov EV, Shippen DE: Length regulation and dynamics of individual telomere tracts in wild-type Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 2004, 16:1959-1967.
- Fajkus J, Kovarik A, Kralovics R, Bezdek M: Organization 56. of telomeric and subtelomeric chromatin in the higher plant Nicotiana tabacum. Mol Gen Genet 1995, 247:633-638
- 57. Maillet G, White CI, Gallego ME: Telomere-length regulation in inter-ecotype crosses of Arabidopsis. Plant Mol Biol 2006, 62:859-866
- Flanary BE, Kletetschka G: Analysis of telomere length and 58. telomerase activity in tree species of various lifespans, and with age in the bristlecone pine Pinus longaeva. Rejuvenation Res 2006. 9:61-63.
- Downey M, Houlsworth R, Maringele L, Rollie A, Brehme M, 59. Galicia S, Guillard S, Partington M, Zubko MK, Krogan NJ et al.: A genome-wide screen identifies the evolutionarily conserved KEOPS complex as a telomere regulator. Cell 2006, 124:1155-1168.
- 60. Zubko MK, Lydall D: Linear chromosome maintenance in the absence of essential telomere-capping proteins. Nat Cell Biol 2006, **8**:734-740.
- 61. Riha K, Heacock ML, Shippen DE: The role of the
- nonhomologous end-joining DNA double-strand break repair pathway in telomere biology. Annu Rev Genet 2006, 40:237-277.

This review summarizes recent knowledge on telomere biology, the NHEJ machinery for DNA damage repair, and the participation of each component of the NHEJ in telomere protection and regulation. The authors propose models for telomere structure and maintenance, and for NHEJ at DSB sites and at uncapped telomeres.

Bradshaw PS, Stavropoulos DJ, Meyn MS: Human telomeric 62. protein TRF2 associates with genomic double-strand breaks as an early response to DNA damage. Nat Genet 2005, 37:193-197.

- Wu L, Multani AS, He H, Cosme-Blanco W, Deng Y, Deng JM, Bachilo O, Pathak S, Tahara H, Bailey SM *et al.*: Pot1 deficiency initiates DNA damage checkpoint activation and aberrant homologous recombination at telomeres. *Cell* 2006, 126:49-62.
- 64. McClintock B: The stability of broken ends of chromosomes in *Zea mays*. *Genetics* 1941, **26**:234-282.
- 65. Pennaneach V, Putnam CD, Kolodner RD: Chromosome healing by *de novo* telomere addition in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Mol Microbiol* 2006, **59**:1357-1368.
- 66. Farr C, Fantes J, Goodfellow P, Cooke H: Functional reintroduction of human telomeres into mammalian cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1991, **88**:7006-7010.
- 67. Yu W, Lamb JC, Han F, Birchler JA: Telomere-associated
- chromosomal truncation in maize. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2006, 103:17331-17336.

The introduction of *Arabidopsis* telomere sequence arrays into maize can break the chromosome. This is the first report of telomere-mediated chromosomal truncation in plants, and its application in producing plant artificial chromosome platforms is discussed.